IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRG DIVISION OF ST. CROIX 	IN ISLANDS
MOHAMMAD HAMED, By His Authorized Agent, WALEED HAMED,)) Civil No.) SX-12-CV-370
<pre>Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, vs.</pre>))ACTION FOR)INJUNCTIVE RELIEF,
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,) DECLARATORY) JUDGMENT ,) PARTNERSHIP
Defendants/Counterclaimants, vs.)DISSOLUTION, WIND)UP, and ACCOUNTING)
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,)))
Counterclaim Defendants.)
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the ESTATE OF MOHAMMAD HAMED,) Consolidated with)) Civil No.
<pre>Plaintiff, vs.</pre>) SX-14-CV-287))ACTION FOR DAMAGES)and DECLARATORY
UNITED CORPORATION,) JUDGMENT
Defendant.)
MOHAMMAD HAMED,) Consolidated with)) Civil No.
Plaintiff,) SX-14-CV-378
vs.) ACTION FOR DEBT)and CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,)
Defendant.)) _)
 Transcript of Hearing 	

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Transcript of Hearing

DATE :	Tuesday, September 28, 2021
LOCATION:	Lower Level Conference Room Law Offices of Joel H. Holt Quinn House 2132 Company Street Christiansted, VI 00820
BEFORE :	HONORABLE EDGAR D. ROSS Special Master
APPEARANCES :	JOEL H. HOLT, ESQ. Law Offices of Joel H. Holt Quinn House - Suite 2 2132 Company Street Christiansted, VI 00820 (For the Plaintiffs) CARL J. HARTMANN III, ESQ. (Via Zoom) 5000 Estate Coakley Bay - Unit L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820 (For the Plaintiffs) CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL, ESQ. STEFAN B. HERPEL, ESQ. Dudley Newman Feuerzeig LLP Law House 1000 Frederiksberg Gade St. Thomas, VI 00802 (For the Defendants)

3

I-N-D-E-X

PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	D	<u>c</u>	RD	RC
Waleed "Wally" Hamed	14	39	66	-
DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES				
Fathi Yusuf	79	105	119	121
HEARING ADJOURNED	• • • • • • • • • •			124

* * * * * * * *

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S

PLAI	[N]	CIE	F'S EXHIBITS	ID	ADM
No.	1	-	Aerial photo of East - Charlotte Amalie	15	38
No.	2	-	Aerial photo of properties: 0.536 Acres and 9.438 Acres	17	38
No.	3	-	Act No. 6914	21	38
No.	4	-	Written Declaration and Undertaking for property in Jordan	27	38
No.	5	-	Balance Sheet - Plaza Extra, STT December 31, 2012	35	38
No.	6	-	Balance Sheet - STX Shopping Center December 31, 2012	35	38
No.	7	-	Affidavit of Mohammad Hannun, April 21, 2014	75	77
No.	9	-	Fathi Yusuf's Answers to Waleed Hamed's First Set of Interrogatories, November 20, 2013	76	-
No.	8	-	Excerpt of Deposition of Najeh Yusuf	77	77

* * * * * *

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS	ID	ADM
No. 6 (F) - Excerpt of Deposition of Waleed Hamed	53	79
No. 3 (C) - Excerpt of Deposition of Fathi Yusuf	97	-
No. 2 (B) - Fathi Yusuf's Answers to Waleed Hamed's First Set of Interrogatories, November 20, 2013	101	101
No. 17 – Affidavit of Suleiman Khaled, May 31, 2014	122	122
No. 18 - Affidavit of Bakir Hussein August 10, 2014	122	122

* * * * * *

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 (WHEREUPON, hearing commenced.) 2 THE COURT: In the matter of 3 Waleed Hamed versus Fathi Yusuf, et al., 4 Case No. SX-2012-CV-370, which is also related 5 with three other -- four other cases. 6 Are the parties ready? 7 ATTORNEY HOLT: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: For the record, would 9 you introduce yourself and your client? We'll 10 start with the plaintiff. 11 ATTORNEY HOLT: Yes, Your Honor. 12 I'm Joel Holt, and I represent the plaintiff, 13 Mr. Hamed. This is Waleed Hamed, "Wally" Hamed 14 at counsel's table with me. 15 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Good morning, 16 everyone. My name is Charlotte Perrell. I, 17 along with my co-counsel, Stefan Herpel, are 18 representing Mr. Fathi Yusuf in this matter, as 19 well as all of the defendants in this case. 20 THE COURT: And Mr. Fathi Yusuf 21 is here present? 22 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes. 23 ATTORNEY HOLT: And, Your Honor, 24 Attorney Carl Hartmann is in attendance by Zoom. 25 THE COURT: Yes.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 This is in reference to the Claim 2 H-142, a Half Acre in Estate Tutu, St. Thomas. 3 Are you ready to proceed? 4 ATTORNEY HOLT: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any opening 6 statements? 7 ATTORNEY HOLT: Well, I would 8 like to say a couple of housekeeping matters. 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 The parties have ATTORNEY HOLT: 11 stipulated that three affidavits of individuals 12 who attended certain meetings, and have been 13 produced in this case, can be used in lieu of 14 their appearing live and testifying. So you 15 will have those three affidavits submitted to 16 you in lieu of those witnesses actually 17 testifying, and that's a stipulation reached by 18 counsel. 19 Additionally, prior to the hearing, we 20 filed two requests to take judicial notice. One 21 of them --22 THE COURT: Three. 23 ATTORNEY HOLT: Huh? 24 THE COURT: There were three. 25 ATTORNEY HOLT: Actually, we

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

7

23

24

25

filed two separate requests for a total of four
 items. We emailed them this morning.
 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Oh, okay.

ATTORNEY HOLT: The first one -the first two I would like to address at the
beginning of the hearing.

THE COURT: You may.

8 ATTORNEY HOLT: One, is that you
9 have already found that the property in
10 question, Parcel No. 2-4 Remainder, Estate
11 Charlotte Amalie, is partnership property.

12 And two, you've already found that it's 13 undisputed that while there was a meeting to 14 discuss the properties, essentially, in March of 15 2011, where additionally discussed about giving 16 two properties and only one property was given, 17 that, in fact, no meeting of the minds ever 18 occurred at that hearing.

19 That's your order dated May 3, 2020, 20 pages 23 to 30. We would ask that the Court 21 take judicial notice of those two facts based on 22 your orders.

> ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor --THE COURT: Yes. ATTORNEY PERRELL: -- Charlotte

1

Perrell on behalf of Mr. Yusuf, et al.

2 To respond, the first thing with regard 3 to the particular property being partnership 4 property, I believe the Court's orders speak for 5 themselves as to the specifics of that. The Court found, from my understanding, is that it 6 7 was partnership property from at least the 8 period of 2008 through 2011, and the issue at 9 present is whether or not it maintained to be 10 partnership property after these various meetings, which is in dispute right now. 11 12 ATTORNEY HOLT: We agree with 13 that, her position. Actually, that's in the 14 request this morning. 15 THE COURT: Yeah, but the request 16 tends to suggest that from 2008 onward it's 17 partnership property. 18 ATTORNEY HOLT: Well, it's the 19 partnership being in dispute currently before 20 the Court, whether there was an agreement in 21 2011. 22 THE COURT: The explanations of 23 the parties, then I will accept it. 24 ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay. 25 ATTORNEY PERRELL: And then

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

25

secondly, Your Honor, the order dated May 3, 2020, which was later attached to the second request, obviously, is a very lengthy order, and we certainly recognize the Court can take judicial notice of that, of its own order.

6 I would suggest that the Court found 7 that there had been a meeting of the minds after 8 the meeting that occurred at Mr. Hamed's home, 9 and that Mr. Yusuf then properly was able to 10 rescind that meeting of the minds, which is 11 called the original agreement. And the guestion 12 for the Court now is whether there was a 13 subsequent agreement thereafter, and that that 14 is the subject of the dispute.

So I feel that the Court's order is very clear. I'm not so sure that these judicial notices are quite as comprehensive, but if the Court wants to just simply take judicial notice of its order and findings therein, we obviously accept those, of course.

21 ATTORNEY HOLT: And we would 22 agree with her proffer, and the only reason we 23 did this so we didn't have to start all over 24 again --

THE COURT: I understand, but I

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

just didn't want to grant your request without an explanation because the request as stated tends to favor one side over the other, and the inference could be that the Court did more than it actually said.

ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay.

7 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, if 8 we could, we would just simply ask that, I think 9 it's the easiest way to go about this rather 10 than picking snippets of the Court's prior 11 orders, that the Court, you know, simply take 12 judicial notice of its comprehensive orders, and 13 they are the best evidence of what the Court's 14 already found.

THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY HOLT: And then we had two more requests, one of which is contained therein, and we ask the Court to take judicial notice of just a portion of the attached interrogatories signed by Mr. Fathi Yusuf, his responses in this case.

And then, this morning, the second request, which I can't locate it anywhere, but basically, the second request just dealt with -since we're putting the affidavits into

evidence --

1

2 THE COURT: The three affidavits. 3 ATTORNEY HOLT: -- the second 4 request is just asking the Court to take 5 judicial notice that the affidavits were 6 obtained by Mr. Yusuf in 2014 and produced to 7 the Hamed's in 2017, which was the subject of an 8 order you issued where you discussed their 9 admissibility and sanctions. And we just want 10 you to take judicial notice that they were 11 executed in 2014, which Mr. Yusuf obtained and 12 provided to the Hamed's in 2017. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, if 15 I may respond? With regard, going back to the 16 interrogatory responses, we have no problems 17 with those interrogatory responses. We're going 18 to be introducing some earlier responses that 19 were already one of the exhibits in the motion 20 for summary judgment. There is no dispute that 21 Mr. Yusuf executed those discovery responses and 22 the verifications there. We will be asking to 23 just simply admit it into evidence. If the 24 Court would prefer judicial notice, that's fine. 25 We just felt like that would be the easier path

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

of resistance.

And with regard to the issue as to the exhibits, again, if the Court is going to be 4 going ahead and simply admitting its entire May 3, 2020 order, I think that takes care of the findings that the Court may even need those affidavits, and so forth, and we certainly acknowledge that.

THE COURT: Very well.

10 ATTORNEY HOLT: So, Your Honor, 11 we only have one witness who we're going to 12 call, that's Waleed Hamed. I think just to give 13 the Court some guidance, I don't think that the 14 testimony will be long, but we're going to ask, 15 if you could, to have a set of the exhibits in 16 front of him so I don't have to keep handing 17 them up, and then we'll move them in evidence 18 and admit, if that's okay.

19 THE COURT: You may proceed. 20 ATTORNEY PERRELL: And, Your 21 Honor, I just wanted to acknowledge that we have 22 our set of exhibits simply sitting there, again, 23 for the convenience of the witnesses.

24 THE COURT: Mr. Hamed, please 25 stand and raise your right hand.

Direct - W. Hamed 14 1 WALEED "WALLY" HAMED, 2 after having been first duly sworn, testified as 3 follows: 4 THE COURT: You may proceed. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 7 Can you state your name for the Ο. record, please? 8 9 Waleed Hamed, also known as Α. 10 Wally. 11 Could you tell the Court who your 0. 12 father is? 13 Mohammad Hamed. Α. 14 Q. And he's deceased, correct? 15 Yes, Sir. Α. 16 Q. And are you aware of a 17 partnership relationship that he had with 18 Mr. Yusuf --19 Α. Yes. 20 -- for a long time? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 I'm showing you -- in addition to 0. 23 having an interest within the partnership, were 24 there other businesses that the two families 25 also owned?

1 Α. Yeah, we have several 2 corporations that own our property. Okay. And if you could look at 3 Ο. 4 Exhibit No. 1, and if you can just show the 5 Court. 6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 tendered.) 7 You're familiar with the property in 8 St. Thomas that was purchased called the Plessen 9 property? 10 Yes. Α. 11 If you could, since that exhibit 0. 12 is so small, if you could just -- this is an 13 aerial photograph of the area. 14 (Blow up of Plaintiff's Exhibit 15 No. 1.) 16 First of all, I take it St. Croix is 17 south, going that way (pointing)? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And this big white (pointing) --0. 20 Α. That's Tutu Park Mall. 21 Ο. And that's where the Plaza is? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And then in looking at 0. 24 this map, can you point us to the acreage that 25 is called the Plessen property?

1 Α. This is the Plessen property 2 right here (pointing). 3 And when this property was Ο. 4 acquired, was there any access to this property? 5 Α. There was access on, I quess that would be the eastern side. This road right here 6 7 (pointing). 8 Okay. And did there come a time Q. 9 that you sought to have the property rezoned? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And you do that in front of the Ο. 12 Legislature? 13 Α. Yes, we did. 14 Q. And did the Legislature make 15 certain requirements of your family in order to 16 rezone that property? 17 They wouldn't rezone it. They Α. 18 had problems with the road not being sufficient 19 to take the nine and a half acres, or the 20 Plessen property. They wanted additional 21 entrances, or at least to accommodate the 22 traffic. 23 Okay. And then showing you 0. 24 Exhibit No. 2, this is kind of a blow up of the 25 area we just showed.

Direct - W. Hamed 17 1 (Blow up of Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 No. 2.) 3 First of all, can you show me the 4 original Plessen property? 5 Yes, right here (pointing). Α. 6 Ο. 9.4 acres? 7 Α. Yes. 8 I've used the term "Plessen", who Q. 9 is that owned by? 10 Plessen is owned by the Hamed Α. 11 family and the Yusuf family. The Hamed family 12 owns 50 percent of the property, and each one of 13 the sons and Mr. Hamed owns 10 percent of the 14 50 percent. 15 Does a corporation actually own Ο. 16 that property? 17 Α. Yes, Plessen Enterprises. 18 Q. And the Hamed family owns 50 19 percent of the stock? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And the Yusuf family owns the Ο. 22 other 50 percent? 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. And as far as the Hamed interest 25 is concerned, do the father and the four

Direct - W. Hamed 18 1 children own it equally or do you each own your 2 own shares? 3 We all equally, 10 percent. Α. 4 Q. You each own 10 percent? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. So you own them separately? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Okay. Then showing you this Q. 9 vellow piece right here (pointing), can you tell 10 me what that is? 11 That piece of property was Α. 12 acquired to give access to the nine and a half 13 acres to the main highway. 14 Q. And that's the piece that the 15 Legislature wanted you to acquire, or they 16 wanted you to get extra access --17 Yes, that's when we ventured out Α. 18 there and we bought the piece of property to 19 give more access to that nine and a half acres. 20 And that's .5 acres? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. And who is that property tied 23 with? 24 Α. It's tied to the United 25 Corporation.

Direct - W. Hamed 19 1 And that's a partnership? Ο. 2 Α. One of the partnerships, yes. 3 Okay. And then, if I could just Q. 4 briefly go a little bit more --5 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection as 6 to timeframe. You said it's United, but it's a 7 partnership. Objection as to timeframe. 8 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 9 Q. Correct. At the time that you 10 purchased it, it was purchased by the 11 partnership? 12 Yes, it was. Α. 13 Today there's a dispute as to 0. 14 whether or not the partnership still owns it; 15 correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And so, in looking at this a Ο. 18 little bit better, can you show the Court, first 19 of all, where is the access from the highway to 20 give access? 21 Access is right here (pointing). Α. 22 This is the main highway that connects downtown, 23 St. Thomas, and then it goes into the mall right 24 over on this side (indicating). 25 0. Okay.

1 Α. And this would give us more 2 access over on this side (indicating). 3 Within the original 9.4 acres, is Q. 4 there any activity going on there currently, any 5 tenants, or anything? There's tenants on this half acre 6 Α. 7 that's been there for a long time. 8 There's no tenants on this Ο. 9 (pointing)? 10 Α. No. And what was the original plan 11 Ο. 12 with this property? 13 This is really to relocate the Α. 14 Tutu Park store, Plaza Tutu Park store into this 15 location. 16 So you would no longer be a Ο. 17 tenant of the Tutu Park Mall? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 And of the tenants over there, Ο. 20 are there tenants who pay rent? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. And who collects and keeps it --23 at the time that you purchased it up until, 24 let's say 2011, who collected the rent? 25 Α. Najeh Yusuf.

8

9

15

21

25

1 And Najeh Yusuf then deposited Ο. 2 the money where?

3 Nejah would collect it and it Α. 4 would be put down in one place until, you know, 5 every five weeks, and the monies would be 6 deposited in the Plaza Extra Tutu Park store 7 accounts.

> And that's --0.

Α. The Tutu partnership.

10 The partnership. Okay. Q. All 11 right.

12 In showing you Exhibit No. 3, if you 13 could, on your pile, can you just tell me what 14 that is?

(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 tendered.)

16 That's Act 6914 that the Α. 17 Legislature granted us a zoning change on the 18 nine and a half acres.

19 And that's when the Legislature 0. 20 granted the rezone?

> Α. Yes.

22 0. And did you purchase the half 23 acre parcel before the rezoning changed? 24

Α. I believe so.

Now, in preparation for your 0.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

4

5

6

7

8

13

22

25

1 testimony today, did you also prepare just kind 2 of a graphic giving critical timelines of the 3 issues we're dealing with today?

A. Yes.

Q. And you're aware of the fact that -- well, first of all, that the criminal case was finalized in February of 2010, correct?

A. Yes, it has.

9 Q. And after the criminal case was
10 finalized, did there come a time where there
11 were some disputes between your father and
12 Mr. Yusuf over certain things?

A. Yes.

14 Q. And generally speaking, what were 15 those disputes?

16 A. Fathi started with accusations
17 that my father stole millions of dollars, that I
18 stole millions of dollars.

19 Q. As a result of conversations, 20 were there meetings to try to see if it can be 21 resolved?

A. There were meetings, yes.

23 Q. And directing your attention to
24 March of 2011 --

ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection,

1 Your Honor. The demonstrative document really 2 suggests certain answers and responses. I think 3 the facts are not in evidence yet, or at least 4 the testimony of the witness. I think it's 5 inappropriate to show him, in essence, the 6 answer. 7 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 8 Okay. Do you recall in March of Ο. 9 2011 there being a meeting at someone's house? 10 There was a meeting at my dad's Α. 11 house, yes. 12 Here on St. Croix? Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And who was present at that 15 meeting? 16 I was present, my dad, and Fathi. Α. 17 Q. And who were negotiating? Who 18 was talking? 19 Α. Fathi came over to -- came over 20 to my father so he can negotiate what was Fathi 21 refusing us of to really make peace and get this 22 whole thing out of the way. 23 Okay. And were you involved in Ο. 24 those discussions? 25 No, but I was present. Α.

23

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 And can you tell the Court then, Ο. 2 did your father make any offers to Mr. Yusuf? 3 There was offers that Fathi --Α. 4 that my father offered to Fathi two pieces of 5 property, and Fathi reneged and said, No, I will 6 only take one. 7 I take it at the outset that Ο. 8 Mr. Yusuf had asked for the two pieces of 9 property? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And so your father offered to Ο. 12 give those two pieces of property? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And as a result, Mr. Yusuf Q. 15 decided that he only wanted to take one of 16 those? 17 He said, You honored me and Α. Yes. 18 for the peace and for the time that we spent 19 with each other all these years, we will make 20 peace. We will sell the stores and everybody go 21 their separate ways, everybody would stop doing 22 what they're doing. And he agreed on this 23 thing, once he give me the two pieces. Then he 24 said, No, I will only take one piece. They 25 shook hands and they left for the day.

Direct - W. Hamed 25 1 So after they shook hands, Ο. 2 Mr. Yusuf left? 3 I'm sorry? Α. 4 0. After they shook hands on the one 5 piece, Mr. Yusuf left? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. Did there come a point very 8 shortly thereafter that Mr. Yusuf changed his 9 mind? 10 Yes, he sure did. I'm not sure Α. 11 whether it was that evening or the following 12 day, but he did come to me and said, Look, your 13 father offered me the two, I change my mind, I 14 want two. 15 So he rescinded the agreement of 0. 16 just taking one? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. All right. Did there come a time 19 that your father then went to Jordan? 20 My dad went down to Jordan for my Α. 21 niece's wedding. Fathi immediately followed 22 him. 23 And before I get that out, I 0. 24 missed one point. After Fathi told you that he 25 wanted the two pieces and rescinded the

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Direct - W. Hamed 26 1 agreement, did he go back and talk to your 2 father about that? 3 No, he didn't. Α. 4 Q. He asked that you go back and 5 talk to your father? 6 Α. He told me to tell him. 7 Q. Did you go back and tell him? 8 A. I told him, yes. 9 And what did your father say? Q. 10 My father didn't respond. A. He 11 didn't respond. 12 ATTORNEY PERRELL: I'm sorry, I 13 couldn't hear him. 14 ATTORNEY HOLT: He didn't 15 respond. 16 ATTORNEY PERRELL: He did not 17 respond? 18 THE WITNESS: Right. 19 ATTORNEY PERRELL: He did not 20 respond? 21 THE WITNESS: Right. 22 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 23 All right. And so, your father Ο. 24 then went to Jordan. Why did he went to Jordan? 25 He went for my niece's wedding. Α.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 And while he was at your niece's 0. 2 wedding, did he meet Mr. Yusuf again in Jordan? 3 Fathi is who came over to him and Α. 4 told him that he need to draw up the documents 5 for the deal that we made. 6 And looking at Exhibit No. 4, can Ο. 7 you tell me what that document is? 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 tendered.) 9 That's a document that was Α. 10 prepared by Fathi Yusuf's attorneys over on that 11 side of world, translation from English to 12 Arabic -- I mean from Arabic to English. 13 So the original document is in Ο. 14 Arabic? 15 Α. Yes. 16 What does that document purport Ο. 17 to do? 18 The agreement that my dad would Α. 19 turn over his interest in that piece of property 20 to Fathi Yusuf. 21 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection. 22 Objection. The document speaks for itself. 23 ATTORNEY HOLT: It's in Arabic. 24 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Well, the 25 English translation, the document speaks for

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 itself. You said what does it purport to do? 2 And I said the document speaks for itself. 3 Objection. 4 ATTORNEY HOLT: We will stipulate 5 it's an accurate translation. 6 ATTORNEY PERRELL: That's fine. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 9 Q. All right. And so, did your 10 father return from Jordan? 11 Α. Yes, he did. 12 And at any time before your Q. 13 father went to Jordan, did he convey any other 14 property to Fathi Yusuf? 15 Α. No. 16 And when he returned from Jordan, 0. 17 do you know whether or not he met with Fathi 18 Yusuf again to negotiate? 19 Α. No, Sir, he didn't. 20 **Q.** He did not? 21 Α. No. 22 Q. And why not? 23 Α. Because the deal was done. We 24 thought we had a deal. We thought we were 25 finished with this guy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q. Did there come a time that you entered into new discussions with Mr. Yusuf?

A. Yes. When my dad came back, my dad was sick, and from there on I took over the negotiations or the dealings with Fathi Yusuf because he continued accusing us of more things and more things and more things.

8 I remember having a meeting with Fathi,
9 Fathi called me over and said, Hey, I found 1.5
10 and I want a piece of property. I said, I
11 thought we had a deal. I thought this was done.
12 He said, Well, I'll finish if you give me more
13 property. I said, Well then, I'll get back to
14 you.

Q. And did there come a time that there was finally a meeting with Mr. Yusuf where a second piece of property was discussed in the meeting?

19 A. That was down the road, maybe two 20 months, a meeting we had -- I had with him 21 between August and September. We had another 22 meeting that -- he would go around the community 23 and he would continue accusing us and building 24 up this persona that we stole, we stole, we 25 stole.

29

1	The elders came in and called me one
2	day, I'm not too sure what location it was, sat
3	down, and after a few hours, they said, Look,
4	your father had a deal, you gave him the option,
5	go ahead and give him the piece of property.
6	You have told us to finish with this guy
7	because he's not going to finish with you, he's
8	going to continue doing this. After several
9	hours, I said, Okay, no problem, I will honor
10	what my dad did.
11	Q. So you, at that point, agreed to
12	transfer the second piece?
13	A. Yes. We had an agreement, we
14	shook hands, everybody was happy, everybody was
15	ecstatic that we were going to be finished with
16	this guy.
17	${f Q}$. And was that the end of the
18	matter?
19	A. No, it wasn't, because the
20	following day Fathi Yusuf said, There's no deal.
21	Q. And why did he say that?
22	A. Well, he said, If I find other
23	things, I have to go back, and if I find
24	anything else, there's no deal, the deal is over
25	with. And I believe from Mr. Hannun

Mr. Hannun is actually the one who called me the following day and said there's no deal, that Fathi called him last night and told him there's no deal if I find this and that, so, there's no deal.

6 Q. And were there then more meetings7 after that?

8 A. There was, subsequently, maybe
9 six weeks, two months down the road, towards the
10 end of the year.

11 Q. The meeting is around Christmas
12 time?

A. Yes.

14 Q. And again, and who would be 15 present at those meetings?

16 A. Several people, several elders in 17 the community. Fathi always talks a lot. You 18 see, Fathi has a thing of just going and 19 hounding --20 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection.

ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection.
 Nonresponsive.
 THE COURT: Sustained. Answer
 the question, please.

24 BY ATTORNEY HOLT:

13

25

Q. Okay. So, there were more

7

23

1 meetings and then more discussions about 2 property?

A. Yes. There was a meeting around
4 Christmas, and we never made a deal.

5 Q. So was there ever an agreement to
6 transfer a second parcel to Mr. Yusuf?

A. No.

8 Q. And why wouldn't you transfer the9 second parcel to Mr. Yusuf?

10 A. Because it was a never ending
11 story. We had a deal. We had a deal in March,
12 we had -- he shook hands with my dad, everything
13 was done, and then this guy turns around and no
14 deal. He didn't fulfill the deal.

15 Q. And the same happened when you16 met with the elders?

17 A. Yes. Once again and once again,
18 he never sticks to the deal that he makes.

19 Q. So in December of 2011 when you 20 had the additional negotiations and no agreement 21 was reached, was that the end of the 22 discussions?

A. That was basically the end.

24 Q. And ultimately the parties ended25 up in litigation on these issues?

1	A. Yes, that's when everything he
2	started threatening us about taking everything
3	that we have. You have to understand, there was
4	over \$40 million in the bank account between us,
5	and Fathi wanted to take it. Fathi wanted to
6	assume to take all that
7	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection.
8	Nonresponsive.
9	THE COURT: Just a minute. Just
10	answer the question asked and don't volunteer
11	anymore information.
12	ATTORNEY HOLT: I think that was
13	part of his answer, that they felt he would
14	continue.
15	THE WITNESS: He continued
16	THE COURT: Yes, but he started
17	going into other monies that weren't part of the
18	deal.
19	ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay. And then,
20	Your Honor, at this juncture, I have a couple
21	more questions for the witness, but this is
22	where it would be appropriate to take judicial
23	notice of the discovery document where Mr. Yusuf
24	said under oath that there were meetings that
25	took place, that he just described in both

1	September and December, and that there were no
2	agreements reached in those meetings.
3	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection,
4	Your Honor. That mischaracterizes the
5	agreement, as we will demonstrate agreement, as
6	to a global resolution is different than an
7	agreement as to this limited property.
8	ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay. But I am
9	only going to offer this document for my
10	evidence in this case to what I think happened.
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	ATTORNEY PERRELL: I have no
13	objection to offering the document in. It
14	speaks for itself.
15	BY ATTORNEY HOLT:
15 16	BY ATTORNEY HOLT: Q. All right. And then after
16	Q. All right. And then after
16 17	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were
16 17 18	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012?
16 17 18 19	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012? A. Yes, Sir.
16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012? A. Yes, Sir. Q. And the complaint was done the
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012? A. Yes, Sir. Q. And the complaint was done the end of the year?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012? A. Yes, Sir. Q. And the complaint was done the end of the year? A. That was done in September of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right. And then after everything fell apart in 2011, there were lawsuits then filed in 2012? A. Yes, Sir. Q. And the complaint was done the end of the year? A. That was done in September of 2012.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 tendered.) 2 Α. That is Plaza Extra, St. Thomas, 3 the assets balance sheet. 4 And Plaza Extra, St. Thomas is Q. 5 the partnership store? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And what does that document show? Ο. 8 Dated December 31, 2012, land, Α. 9 East Charlotte Amalie. It refers to the half 10 acre lot for \$330,000. 11 And it shows that the partnership Ο. 12 owns that property as of that date in 2012? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. And the subsequent partnership 15 records, 2012, 2013, do they show the same 16 thing? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So the property was carried on Q. 19 the corporate books -- excuse me, the 20 partnership books up until this dispute arose? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. And then looking at the second 23 document, can you tell me what that is? 24 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 tendered.) 25 Α. That's United Corporation

Direct - W. Hamed 36 1 Shopping Center. 2 Q. So that's the --3 December 31, 2012. Α. 4 Q. That's United Corporation's --5 Α. Yes. 6 -- balance sheet as of the end of 0. 7 2012, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And does that show the half acre Ο. 10 belonging to the corporation? 11 No, Sir. Α. 12 Q. Okay. Now, who paid -- up until 13 this proceeding was filed in 2014, who paid the 14 real property taxes on the half acre? 15 The partnership, Plaza Extra. Α. 16 Where were the rents from the Ο. 17 half acre deposited up until up 2014? 18 Α. In the Tutu Plaza Extra account. 19 0. And that's the partnership 20 account? 21 Α. Yes. 22 ATTORNEY HOLT: All right. That 23 is all the questions I have at this time. And 24 we would move into evidence 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

Direct - W. Hamed

1 ATTORNEY PERRELL: The only 2 objection, Your Honor, is that I don't believe 3 that a proper foundation was laid for Exhibits 5 4 and 6, so those would be the objections to 5 and 5 6, but no objections to 1 through 4. 6 ATTORNEY HOLT: Would you like me 7 to establish a foundation? 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 10 Look at Exhibits No. 5 and 6. Ο. Do 11 you recognize what those records are? 12 Α. Yes, they're balance sheets. 13 And those are account sheets --0. 14 well, the records for the partnership, those are 15 records that you have access to in the normal 16 course of business? 17 Α. Yes, Sir. 18 And you would be familiar with Q. 19 those records? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And the information that you Ο. 22 testified about regarding the deposit of rents 23 up until 2014 and the payment of taxes up to 24 2014 by the partnership, can you tell me whether 25 or not that's information that you have

Direct - W. Hamed

1 knowledge of?

2 Yes, I do. Α. 3 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, we 4 will move 5 in, and we don't need to move 6 in. 5 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Same 6 objection, Your Honor. He's not necessarily --7 the partnership really had not even been 8 established. He has no familiarity necessarily 9 that he's ever seen these documents before, and 10 it is also subject to a bi-monthly report that 11 had corrected certain issues relating to this 12 that was filed by the comptroller for the 13 partnership, John Gaffney, in this case. So I 14 would object that he's not laid the proper 15 foundation for these documents. 16 THE COURT: I will admit them and 17 give it whatever weight it deserves based on all 18 the evidence. 19 (Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 1, 2, 20 3, 4, 5 and 6 admitted into 21 evidence.) 22 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Thank you, 23 Your Honor. 24 ATTORNEY HOLT: Thank you. 25 THE COURT: You may cross.

1 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, 2 may I remain seated or would you prefer --3 THE COURT: You may. 4 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Thank you, 5 Your Honor. I appreciate that. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 8 Mr. Hamed, good afternoon. We've Ο. 9 met before. Good to see you again. 10 Good afternoon. Α. 11 I have a couple of questions 0. 12 regarding the testimony that you have provided. 13 Let's, first of all, go back to the meeting that 14 occurred at the home of your father in 2010 or 15 '11. Do you recall that testimony? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Right. And at the time of that Ο. 18 meeting you indicated that two properties were 19 offered by your father to Mr. Yusuf for 20 Mr. Yusuf's claim that there had been 21 misappropriations, correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And those two properties were one Ο. 24 property in Jordan; is that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

20

Q. And that one property in Jordan was ultimately transferred, correct?

A. They had an agreement on it.

Q. Okay. That's the one that was transferred. I'm just trying to clarify which Jordan property you were talking about.

A. They had an agreement, yes.

8 Q. Okay. And the Jordan property 9 that was transferred that you were talking about 10 that day at the house is the Jordan property 11 that was the subject of Exhibit 4; is that 12 right?

A. Yes.

14 Q. The other piece of property that 15 your father was offering to Mr. Yusuf, wasn't 16 that the Tutu property that was both the half 17 acre and the 9.4 that you've identified?

18 A. Specifically, they were talking19 about the Tutu property.

Q. Okay.

21 A. Whether it was both or one, it
22 was specifically the Tutu property.

Q. Okay. And so, was it common for
your family to refer to the Tutu property as the
9.4 and the half acre together as one, because

1 they were together. Is that the way you
2 referred to them?

A. I would assume, but -- we
referred that is the nine and a half acres and
the half acre, specifically said, because when
Fathi requested -- let me correct that. My
father didn't offer. Fathi requested the two
pieces of property, and then Fathi refused the
two pieces of property and only take one.

Q. Okay.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Hamed, please 12 answer the question.

BY ATTORNEY PERRELL:

14 Q. I'm just trying to identify.
15 We've already talked about the Jordan one was
16 the one. I'm trying to understand, what does
17 the other one include? The other one includes
18 what you understood was the Tutu property,
19 correct?

20

10

13

A. Yes, Ma'am.

Q. And do you know whether when the request and the -- at least preliminary agreement from your father to give that, whether the intention was for it to be both the 9.4 and the half acre together as one?

1	A. I'm not too sure what the
2	intention was, but I know it's the Tutu Park
3	property, which we look at the larger, which is
4	the nine and a half acres.
5	Q. Okay. And isn't it true,
6	however, that the half acre was purchased for
7	the express purpose in providing access to the
8	9.4 acre, correct?
9	A. Yes. Yes.
10	Q. Right. And wasn't it also true
11	that the half acre, really, the whole reason to
12	have the half acre was so that you could rezone
13	all the property because it would provide
14	greater access and you could rezone it
15	commercial?
16	A. Yes, Ma'am.
17	Q. Okay. So there would be no
18	reason to ever separate those two properties at
19	some point in the future if the parties were to
20	ever sell them off, correct?
21	A. I would assume not.
22	Q. Okay. And so, it's your
23	recollection, having been present at the home
24	meeting, that your father agreed to do both the
25	Jordan property and also what I'm going to call

1	
1	the collective Tutu property, correct?
2	ATTORNEY HOLT: Object to the
3	form. First of all, he didn't testify he
4	agreed, he say he offered. They never reached
5	the agreement. So I object to the form.
6	And secondly I don't remember my
7	second objection. He's already testified he
8	doesn't know if the two were included. So her
9	question assumes that they were. She's assuming
10	facts not in evidence.
11	THE COURT: No, the witness is
12	testifying as to his recollection.
13	ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay.
14	THE COURT: I will listen to the
15	responses and analyze the question when they
16	tell me what the Court can find, reasonable and
17	credible testimony.
18	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Thank you,
19	Your Honor.
20	BY ATTORNEY PERRELL:
21	Q. You can answer the question, but
22	I'm not going to be able to remember to repeat
23	it back.
24	So Miss Court Reporter, if you could
25	reread the question. Thank you.

1	(Last question read back by the
2	
	Court Reporter as follows:)
3	Question: Okay. And so, it's
4	your recollection, having been present at
5	the home meeting, that your father agreed
6	to do both the Jordan property and also
7	what I'm going to call the collective Tutu
8	property, correct?
9	A. My recollection is Fathi Yusuf
10	asked for two pieces of property, my father gave
11	him two pieces of property. Fathi then, same 30
12	seconds came back and said, No, only one piece,
13	I will accept one piece.
14	THE COURT: But the question is,
15	Mr. Hamed, the second piece of property, what
16	was that, based on your understanding?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Was what?
19	THE WITNESS: Was the Tutu Park
20	property.
21	THE COURT: And what was the Tutu
22	Park property? What did it consist of?
23	THE WITNESS: I really, really
24	don't know if it's meant for those two pieces or
25	just refer to it collectively as the Tutu Park

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

property. It wasn't specifically said half an
 acre, nine and a half acres.

BY ATTORNEY PERRELL:

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

Q. Okay. And my next question was, did you ever have any reason for those two properties, once they had both been acquired, to ever separate them if you were to ever sell them, for example?

9 A. I don't know what's going to
10 happen down the road. If something could
11 happen, maybe if somebody come in and want to
12 pay me big money for it, it's possible.

Q. Okay. Let me ask you this, is the 9.4 acre property more valuable with the also use of the half acre property?

A. I really -- if I tell you, I'm assuming. I'm not sure. I mean, just because of real estate, the market, how it will be used.

Q. Isn't it true, though, that the whole reason for -- you testified earlier, the whole reason for even acquiring that half acre was because the half acre was going to provide additional access for the 9.4, and that's something that the Legislature was concerned about when determining whether to properly

1 rezone it as commercial, correct? 2 That's true, but I can explain. Α. 3 We had plans for that property. We had plans to 4 put a big store, to move out of the Tutu Park 5 store. 6 Right. And you had to rezone the Ο. 7 9.4 to do that? 8 Yes, Ma'am. Α. 9 0. And in the process of attempting 10 to rezone, wasn't an issue that was raised by 11 the Legislature the concerns about having the 12 entrance off of only the one road for the 9.4 13 and that it might be a traffic problem because 14 it has a blind curve and so forth? 15 Α. Yes, Ma'am. 16 Okay. And that was the specific Ο. 17 reason why the family went and purchased the 18 half acre, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Okay. In other words, the family Q. 21 wasn't looking to purchase the half acre for its 22 own benefit. It was looking to purchase the 23 half acre to be a benefit to the 9.4 already 24 owned? 25 ATTORNEY HOLT: I object to the

Cross - W. Hamed 47 1 form of that question, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Yes. Strike "its own 3 benefit." 4 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay. 5 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 6 Just to clarify, what was the Q. 7 purpose of purchasing that half acre? 8 Α. It was for the purpose to really 9 have access to the highway, like you stated 10 before, for the nine and a half acres. 11 All right. So, I think we've Ο. 12 clarified the properties. Let's talk about the 13 scope of the arrangement. At that time, 14 Mr. Yusuf had indicated to you -- or indicated 15 to Mr. Hamed there were certain 16 misappropriations that he claimed he had filed; 17 is that accurate? 18 Α. Yes, he claimed, yes. 19 Ο. Right. And he had dollar values 20 on those amounts, did he not? 21 Α. I'm sorrv? 22 He had a dollar value on those Ο. 23 amounts, correct? 24 Α. That was always changing. 25 Okay. But he had certain 0.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Cross - W. Hamed 48 1 dollars, like a \$2 million and maybe a 1.4 as 2 well, correct? 3 Yes, Ma'am. Α. 4 Q. And so, do you recall Mr. Yusuf 5 ever saying, these two properties, when he was asking for them, these two properties for what I 6 7 have discovered so far? 8 Absolutely not. Α. 9 Q. Okay. 10 We shook hands -- you're going to Α. 11 stop me, Judge? 12 You don't recall him saying that; Q. 13 is that correct? 14 Α. He did not say that. 15 0. Okay. So, a couple of hours 16 later Mr. Yusuf goes back to the store, correct? 17 Α. I won't say a couple hours, 18 probably later on. 19 I'm sorry? 0. 20 Α. Later on. 21 Okay. Within the same day; is Ο. 22 that fair? 23 Yes, Ma'am. Α. 24 Q. Okay. So within the same day 25 Mr. Yusuf goes back to the store and then he has

1

2

3

6

17

23

a conversation with you later that same day, correct?

A. Later in the evening, yes.

4 Q. Okay. Were you at the store for5 this?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And isn't it true that
Mr. Yusuf says, I have checked on something, I
have found something else. I don't want the one
property, just the Jordan property, I now want
the two properties that we've already discussed?

A. My recollection wasn't as your recollection or your statement because he didn't say, I thought about it or I found this, right. It wasn't anything like that. He changed his mind --

Q. Okay.

18 A. -- after he made a deal and shook
19 hands with my dad to finish this. The purpose
20 of that meeting is to finish everything. We
21 decided on selling the store, everybody splits,
22 and everybody goes his way.

Q. Okay.

24 A. My father was buying peace. My
25 father didn't admit that he did anything. My

father was finding peace with Fathi because of 1 2 what he was saying and doing all the time. You 3 have to understand, Fathi was threatening us 4 that we had nothing in our name and he's going 5 to take everything. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. So my father in his position 8 said, what am I to do? Let me buy peace with 9 this guy. 10 Let me back up. During the Q. 11 course of the meeting at the house, is it your 12 testimony that, in fact, you all had reached --

13 that Mr. Hamed and Mr. Yusuf had reached an 14 agreement for a full and complete resolution of 15 all of their partnership dealings?

A. Yes.

16

17

18

Q. At the house that day?

A. Yes.

19 Q. All right. And the parameters of 20 this, was there ever an effort to go ahead and 21 start selling the stores?

A. Fathi was going to sell all that
stuff. We were supposed to sell all that out.
Q. But that never happened, did it?
A. He had all the chance to do it.

1	Q. Okay. But you didn't inquire of
2	Mr. Yusuf, why are we not selling the stores?
3	Why are we not moving forward with the entire
4	deal? Did you ever ask him that?
5	A. Fathi was making the deal. Fathi
6	made the deal and he reneged on the deal. Fathi
7	didn't follow through. Remember, you know,
8	Fathi is the one always in charge. We have to
9	follow what Fathi says. And you telling me now
10	I'm supposed to do anything? No, no, no,
11	something is wrong here.
12	Q. Okay. I'd ask you to just answer
13	the question.
14	A. I'm sorry.
15	Q. So the question I had is that,
16	that afternoon or later that same day, Mr. Yusuf
17	indicates that one property will not be
18	sufficient, that he wants to go back to the
19	conversations you had earlier that day for the
20	two properties; isn't that correct?
21	A. Fathi accepted the one property,
22	he said, That is enough. You honored me, you
23	respected me, I am only gonna take one. And
24	from there on, we're gonna finish with each
25	other, we're gonna sell the stores, and

	Cross - W. Hamed 52
1	everybody goes their separate ways.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. Later on Fathi came back and
4	said, I thought about it and your father offered
5	me the two, I want the second one. Tell your
6	father that.
7	Q. Okay. Tell your father that?
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. And when he said that, you
10	understood that to be, based on the
11	conversation, that was the same properties that
12	you talked about earlier that day; is that
13	correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And he asked you to convey
16	that message to your father, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And at that point in time,
19	you are not negotiating, correct, on behalf of
20	Hamed, your father?
21	A. No. No.
22	Q. You're just his agent, you're
23	just running the message back; is that right?
24	A. Yes, Ma'am.
25	Q. Okay. And so, did you, in fact,

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

3

6

7

17

21

22

23

1 convey the message that Mr. Yusuf had to your 2 father either that day or shortly thereafter?

A. Yes, I did.

4 Q. And your testimony earlier is5 that he had no response?

 A. If I remember correctly, he had no response.

8 Q. Okay. Do you recall your earlier 9 deposition testimony in this matter given in 10 January of 2020? Do you recall that you gave a 11 deposition in this case about these issues in 12 2020?

13 A. I guess so, but I'm not sure what14 documents are you referring to.

15 Q. Okay. I'd like to ask for you to
16 look at Exhibit 6.

(Defendant's Exhibit No. 6 tendered.)

18 I'd like you to look at the first boxed 19 bracket beginning at line 4 through 16. I'm 20 sorry, page 158, lines 4 through 16.

A. 158?

O. Yes.

A. Yes.

24 Q. Isn't it true that I asked you
25 the question, at line 10: So did Mr. Yusuf say

Cross - W. Hamed 54 1 to go back and talk to your father about that? 2 Do you see that question? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. And your answer was: He told me 5 to go back and tell him. 6 Is that accurate? 7 Α. Yes. 8 That's what you testified today, Q. 9 right? 10 And then my question was: And did you 11 do that? 12 And you see your answer: Yeah, I told 13 him. Correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 All right. And then my next Q. 16 question was: Okay. And what did your father 17 say? 18 And your answer was: He said, Okay. 19 Α. Yes. 20 All right. So today you're Q. 21 saying he had no response, but in 2020 you said 22 his answer was, Okay. 23 Thank you for clearing me up. Α. Ι 24 mean, it's been a while, it's been a lot of 25 things going on, and if I reference to Okay, I

1	said Okay at that time for whatever the context
2	of it. For me, I think the context of it today
3	is my understanding is if my father agree to
4	it. My thing is, I told my dad. I told Fathi
5	that my father said, Okay.
6	Q. Did he say, Okay
7	A. Did he respond, Okay, he can have
8	it? Okay, it's his? Or, Okay, we agree to the
9	agreement? Nothing happen like that I remember.
10	All I remember is, yes, I did tell my dad, and
11	my dad said, <i>Okay</i> .
12	Q. And your dad said, Okay, meaning
13	that he agreed?
14	A. I don't know what he meant, but
15	that's what my understanding is. I don't know
16	what my dad meant.
17	Q. So when you asked your father,
18	you don't know what he meant?
19	A. He said, Okay. He said
20	Q. Okay.
21	A whether he meant it's okay,
22	it's okay I refer to whatever Fathi wants or
23	what I give Fathi, whatever. My thing is, he
24	didn't give me a response, okay, go with it,
25	that's fine.

1 Okay. Your early testimony was Ο. 2 that he said, Okay, now your testimony today is 3 that he did not respond, but he did respond and 4 he said, Okay; isn't that accurate? 5 Well, I stand corrected. Α. He said, Okay. 6 7 All right. So, did you come back Ο. 8 and have a subsequent conversation with 9 Mr. Yusuf? 10 I don't recall if I did or not. Α. 11 Ο. Okay. 12 We've always talked about --Α. 13 So just to refocus, after you had Ο. 14 this conversation with your dad, did you have a 15 conversation shortly thereafter with Mr. Yusuf 16 where Mr. Yusuf asked you, What did your father 17 said -- oh no, he asked you, Did you talk to 18 your father? 19 I probably did, yes. Α. 20 Q. Okay. And did you tell Mr. Yusuf 21 that your father said, Yes? 22 Α. I'm not sure if I said, Yes, or I 23 said, Okay, but I'm pretty sure he said 24 something. 25 **Q.** Okay. And at that point, did

3

12

20

1 Mr. Yusuf follow up with any further questions 2 of you?

A. I don't recall exactly.

Q. Okay. So, you never told
Mr. Yusuf that your father said, No; isn't that
correct?

7 A. I don't -- no, I don't remember 8 my dad saying, No.

9 Q. Okay. All right. And you're not 10 aware of your father ever saying to Mr. Yusuf, 11 No?

A. I don't recall that, no.

Q. Okay. And so, when they traveled to Jordan and the Jordan property was transferred, you're not aware of anyone indicating that, no, they were only going to transfer the Jordan property and that was it? Are you aware of anybody having that conversation with Mr. Yusuf in Jordan?

A. I wasn't there, so I don't know.

Q. Okay. A couple months after Jordan when Mr. Yusuf returns, he comes to you, and he says, Okay, when are we going to start transferring the 9.3 -- the 9.4 property; isn't that correct?

1	A. When Fathi came, from my
2	recollection, he came with new accusations.
3	After Fathi came back from Jordan, he started
4	with new accusations, Well, you need to give me
5	more property because I found more things. And
6	I said, Wait a minute, didn't we have an
7	agreement? Didn't you have an agreement with my
8	dad? And then all of a sudden you want more
9	property? I thought we were done.
10	${f Q}$. Right. And the agreement was to
11	transfer both the Jordan property and the Tutu
12	property, correct?
13	A. Yes, Ma'am.
14	Q. Okay. And when you say the Tutu
15	property, it's the collective Tutu property,
16	correct?
17	A. Well, the assumption is, but we
18	refer to it as Tutu Park property.
19	Q. And isn't it true that the half
20	acre of the Tutu property was already in
21	United's name at the time that all of these
22	discussions were taking place?
23	A. I'm not quite sure, but possibly.
24	Q. Okay. You know the 9.4, though,
25	was in the name of Plessen, correct?

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

A. Yes, Ma'am.

Q. Okay. Now, isn't it true that the parties never ultimately came to a resolution about any third property, correct?

A. There was no resolution for the second or the third or the fourth or the fifth because Fathi never agreed to the first one. When we came back and we sat down again, I believe in either September or October of that same year, Fathi came back from Jordan, they forced me to honor the agreement that he had with my dad, and I was forced to go ahead and honor that at the end of the night because of the pressure they put on me --

Q. Right.

A. -- so we could be done because we
had an agreement earlier in the year. Fathi
started accusing us of some other stuff. We
thought we had an agreement, we're done, we're
gonna finish with each other, but Fathi had
other ideas. Fathi was just trying to nibble,
nibble, nibble so he can take as much as he can.
So we never had an agreement to begin with.

Why would these people force me inSeptember to agree on an agreement that we had

1

2

3

4

if Fathi had honored the agreement in March? Why would they come back and say you have to give him what your father offered in March if we had an agreement?

5 Let me ask you this, with Ο. Okav. 6 regard to the -- with regard to a third 7 property, there was never an agreement -- you 8 never agreed -- at this point you're 9 negotiating, right, after Jordan and so forth 10 because your father is ill, you never agreed, on 11 behalf of Hamed, to do any kind of a third 12 property transfer, correct?

13 A. We never transferred the second,
14 third, fourth. We never agreed. All those were
15 discussions.

16 Q. All right. Let me ask you this, 17 what was the third property that was part of the 18 discussions that you all were having?

A. Fathi, he was shooting left and
right with different properties. I mean, he was
telling us about some other property in Jordan,
he was telling us about some other property in
St. Croix. And it was just like we just went
around in circles with him, and we thought we
had an agreement, we were finished, but he

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 didn't finish with us. We didn't finish with 2 him because we never had an agreement. He 3 reneged on the agreement that we had in March.

So, therefore, he goes back and forth, back and forth with more accusations, soiling our name all over the place, accusing us of stuff. Oh, give me more property, give me more property, give me more property and I'll finish with you guys, and I'll finish with you guys. I'll take everything away from you because you have nothing in your name, everything is in our name.

13 Okay. So, what I'm -- the one Ο. 14 question, I apologize if this has been, perhaps, 15 asked and answered. I mean, I wasn't clear on 16 the answer. With regard to a third property, 17 you've already identified one and two, the 18 Jordan property that did get transfer, the 19 second property is the Tutu collective property. 20 I'm asking you about a third property that 21 Mr. Yusuf was inquiring about. That third 22 property, was it a property in Amman, Jordan? 23 Do you know?

24ATTORNEY HOLT: Just for the25record, I want to object to her definition of

5

6

7

12

1 collective property because he didn't agree with 2 it. Otherwise, other than, she can ask the 3 question. She's imposing her definition on him, 4 and he did not acknowledge.

THE COURT: I understand this question and I understand your objection. You may answer.

8 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 9 I don't recall exactly which properties. There 10 were several properties, we were going back and 11 forth. I don't remember which one.

BY ATTORNEY PERRELL:

13 All right. So, was there an Ο. 14 attempt between all of you, you and Mr. Yusuf 15 with the benefit of some of the elders in the 16 community, your community, to try to reach a 17 global resolution of all of the partnership 18 dealings between the parties, separate and apart 19 from the transfer of the Jordan property and the 20 issue with the Tutu property?

A. There was no separate negotiations. We had a deal to begin with in March, everybody knew that, everybody and Fathi -- see, Fathi has a way of communicating. He spends a lot of time with these so-called elders

1 or elders in our community, he spends a lot of 2 time with them, and he just gives them the 3 narrative that they want -- he wants them to 4 hear, always twisting things around.

5 We had a deal, him and my father shook 6 hands in March, he reneged on the deal, never 7 followed through, and he tried again in 8 September and I believe in October again, back 9 then, and then ultimately in December tried 10 again to go back to the deal that my father 11 offered and agreed upon in March. He never 12 fulfilled it.

13 Q. Okay. How is it that Mr. Yusuf 14 didn't fulfill the two-property deal?

A. He still negotiating for more and
more. If we had a deal, don't you think he
would make sure that we transferred the Tutu
Park property?

19 Q. Isn't it true, though, that 20 Mr. Yusuf asked you to go ahead and transfer the 21 9 --

A. Right. And you know why?
Because he wanted more. He wanted more. It
wasn't enough for him. He wanted more. He came
back and he wanted more. We had a deal, but he

1	still went ahead, as soon as he came back, he
2	continued soiling our name, he still continued
3	accusing us of doing things. The agreement was,
4	we're done, we're finished. Stop all the stuff
5	that you're saying. My father bought peace for
6	giving him that deal, not to agree that he did
7	anything. My father bought peace. Fathi did
8	not order he didn't offer what you call
9	it? He didn't
10	Q. Let me go back to you had
11	stated earlier that the elders forced you to
12	agree to, and you did agree to the two-property
13	deal, which was the Jordan property already been
11	transferred and the Tutu property, correct?
14	Clansferred and the full property, correct:
14 15	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who
15	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who
15 16	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get
15 16 17	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting
15 16 17 18	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go
15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go to them.
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go to them. Q. All right. During these
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go to them. Q. All right. During these meetings, was the individual, Mohammad Hannun,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go to them. Q. All right. During these meetings, was the individual, Mohammad Hannun, present?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, but Fathi is the one who always going after me, saying, hey, get him, get him. Fathi is the one who is always requesting that, come. They always call me. I didn't go to them. Q. All right. During these meetings, was the individual, Mohammad Hannun, present? A. In one or two meetings. There

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Cross - W. Hamed 65 1 Mohammad Hannun? 2 He is my uncle. Α. 3 Ο. How is he your uncle? 4 Α. My mother's brother. 5 Ο. And how is he related to 6 Mr. Yusuf? 7 He is his brother-in-law. Α. 8 Okay. And was a Mr. Suleiman Q. 9 Khaled there at some or one of these meetings? 10 One of them, yes. Α. 11 Ο. Okay. And who is he? 12 Α. He's Fathi's nephew. 13 Okay. Do you bear any relation 0. 14 to him, blood relation? 15 No, just a cousin, a distant Α. 16 cousin. 17 Okay. And who is -- well, let me Ο. 18 ask you this. Was Bakir Hussein also present at 19 some or any of those meetings? 20 Yes, he was. Α. 21 Ο. And what relation is he to you? 22 There's no blood relation. He's Α. 23 Fathi's best friend and tenant. 24 0. Okay. All right. And do you 25 have a close relationship with any of those

Redirect - W. Hamed

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

20

21

1 three that you just mentioned?

A. I know them. I am not what you call close. One of them is blood relative, which is my uncle. The other one is Fathi's nephew, my distant cousin. And the other one, Bakir Hussein, is Fathi's best friend and tenant.

8 Q. Okay. And isn't it true that 9 Mr. Hussein is -- well, let me ask you this. Do 10 you have any reason to believe that the 11 testimony they provided in their affidavit is 12 not accurate?

A. I don't know. I really don't
know. The testimony is that's what Fathi's
lawyers or whoever was giving to Fathi's
lawyers, that's what he did.

ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay.

18 Your Honor, we don't have any further 19 questions.

ATTORNEY HOLT: Just a few.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY ATTORNEY HOLT:

Q. A little family here. Mr. Hannun is brother to your father's wife and he's also brother to Fathi's wife?

Redirect - W. Hamed 67 1 Α. He's brother to Fathi's wife and 2 my mother, yes. 3 Ο. Okay. He was the brother-in-law 4 of your father and Fathi? 5 Α. Both of them, yes. 6 Coming back to the questions Ο. 7 about discussions, you were asked specifically 8 about your deposition testimony where you were 9 asked to go back and talk to your father and you 10 did talk to your father, okay? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Now, if you could just read on on Q. 13 the next page that continues, the box continues, 14 on page 160. You'll see the box there, 15 beginning on line 8. It talks about the 16 discussions. 17 Α. Eight? 18 Page 169 [sic], line 8. Q. 19 Α. 169 [sic]? 20 Yes, the second page of Exhibit Q. 21 Number -- are vou in Exhibit F? 22 Α. I'm sorry, I don't know. 23 Switch back to the first page, 0. 24 let's start all over again. 25 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, may I

Redirect - W. Hamed 68 1 approach? 2 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 3 Exhibit F is under the tab six. 4 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Which page is 5 that? 6 ATTORNEY HOLT: 160. 7 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 8 At the bottom of the page, and it Ο. 9 savs: Earlier in the day, your father had gone 10 ahead. You see there it says: Okay. So when 11 you saw Mr. Yusuf, I assume you saw him the next 12 day. And you say you're not sure. When you saw 13 Mr. Yusuf -- this is the bottom of the page --14 did you report to him that you had, in fact, 15 conveyed what he asked you to? 16 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection, 17 Your Honor. He's simply reading from the 18 deposition testimony. It's not a proper 19 question. 20 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 21 Do you remember what you told 0. 22 Mr. Yusuf? Did you tell him anything? 23 ATTORNEY PERRELL: He can't --24 objection, Your Honor. 25 I don't recall right now. Α.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Redirect - W. Hamed

1	Q. To refresh your recollection like
2	she did, could you just look at your deposition
3	testimony. And what did you say back in 2020
4	about what you told Mr. Yusuf?
5	A. He asked me, I said, Yes.
6	${f Q}$. And did you tell Mr. Yusuf what
7	your father said?
8	A. I said, My father does not agree.
9	Q. You didn't tell him anything,
10	correct?
11	A. I didn't tell my father I'm
12	just reading from it. I don't recall exactly
13	what, but I didn't tell him my father agreed or
14	disagreed. I didn't tell him either. I said, I
15	told him of it.
16	Q. Okay. So, when you can't
17	remember the day back when you were deposed,
18	your recollection was that you did not tell
19	Mr. Yusuf what your father said to you; correct?
20	A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the
21	question.
22	Q. When you gave your deposition
23	back in 2020, you were asked, What did you tell
24	Mr. Yusuf about what your father said? You
25	testified that you didn't tell him anything.

Redirect - W. Hamed 70 1 You didn't tell Mr. Yusuf anything, correct? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 And continuing on the next page, 0. 4 isn't that the same testimony? He asked me if I 5 told him, and I said, Yes, I told him. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Ο. And you never provided him any 8 further information about what your father said? 9 I'm sorry, repeat please? Α. 10 You never provided him any 0. 11 information about what your father said one way 12 or the other, did you? 13 Α. No, I didn't. 14 Q. Okay. Now, when you were at the 15 first meeting, and you've already gone over the 16 conversation about your father was asked for 17 two, was offered two, and Yusuf accepted one and 18 they shook hands. It was your understanding 19 that that was to take care of all of the 20 accusations between the parties, and then from 21 there on go forward and divide up the 22 property --23 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection, 24 Your Honor. Leading. 25 THE COURT: I'll permit it.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Redirect - W. Hamed

1	What was your understanding?
2	THE WITNESS: My understanding,
3	like I stated before when she asked me that,
4	everything was a done deal. We were going to go
5	ahead and stop the accusations, stop the soiling
6	our name all over the place, we're gonna sell
7	the stores, split everything that we have and
8	everyone goes their merry way.
9	BY ATTORNEY HOLT:
10	Q. And did the accusations stop?
11	A. No, they didn't.
12	Q. Did the amounts that Mr. Yusuf
13	claim stay the same, decrease, or increase?
14	A. He was going all over the place.
15	Q. Did he ask for more?
16	A. Yes, he did.
17	Q. And so, you were asked, why
18	didn't you go ahead and separate the partnership
19	at that point? And my question is, could you
20	separate the partnership until you had these
21	claims resolved?
22	A. No, I don't think so.
23	Q. Okay. And so, until this issue
24	was resolved you couldn't proceed with the
25	dissolution, correct?

Redirect - W. Hamed

7

8

20

24

25

1 We couldn't do it, and Fathi was Α. 2 in control of that. Fathi had his hands around 3 our neck.

4 Q. Okay. And so, until it was 5 resolved about this dispute on who owed who money, you couldn't dissolve the partnership, 6 correct?

> Α. That's correct.

9 Ο. Now, if in fact -- you were asked 10 about whether the two properties were treated as 11 being part of the same piece, the half acre and 12 the 9.5 acres. First of all, isn't it true that 13 they're in different names, one is owned by a 14 corporation and one is owned by the partnership?

15 Yes. One is Plessen and one is Α. 16 United.

17 And the corporation is not just Ο. 18 Fathi and your father, it's other people; 19 correct?

> Yes. Α.

21 If the Court finds that the half Ο. 22 acre belongs to Fathi, then you've actually 23 separated the two ownerships, correct?

> Α. Yes.

Because the 9.5 acre belongs to 0.

Redirect - W. Hamed

3

15

16

17

1 Plessen, it's not part of these proceedings; is
2 it?

A. That's correct.

Q. Now, coming back to Mr. Hannun,
you indicated that he was present at the meeting
when the elders were there and you, just based
upon what you perceive pressure, you agreed to
go ahead and transfer the two properties,
whatever they may be, correct?

10 A. Yes, to go back to the same11 agreement he had with my dad.

12 Q. But before anything could be 13 done, it's your understanding that Mr. Yusuf 14 said No to that deal as well, correct?

A. That is correct, yes, he did.

Q. And why did he say *No* to that deal?

18 A. Because he said -- Fathi said, 19 oh, I wasn't in my right mind or I wasn't 20 present or you guys did something to me, or 21 whatever, some kind of thing that came up. And 22 that's all I got the following day, hey, no 23 deal.

24 Q. And that's because he wanted more 25 properties? Redirect - W. Hamed

1 Α. Yes, he did. 2 And from your perspective, to Q. 3 give him the two properties would be to end 4 everything, and if you couldn't end everything, 5 there was no purpose for the deal anyway? 6 Α. My dad had an agreement with 7 Fathi to end all things back in March, that's 8 the agreement, that's what we all agreed on, and 9 Fathi ended up saying, I want more, I want more, 10 I want more, and there was no deal. 11 And even after your father and Ο. 12 Fathi shook hands, did Fathi continue to look at 13 paperwork and decide that more money had been 14 taken? 15 I assume, yes. Α. 16 0. And he didn't turn around and say 17 let's get this done and just finalize this deal? 18 Α. No. 19 Ο. And there was never a settlement 20 agreement signed? 21 Α. There was none. 22 Q. There was never a deed signed? 23 Α. None. 24 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection. 25 There was a deed signed. Misstates the

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Redirect - W. Hamed 75 1 evidence. 2 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 3 Q. Was there ever a deed signed for 4 the Plessen property in St. Thomas? 5 Α. No, Sir. 6 Was there ever a deed signed for Ο. 7 the half acre parcel in St. Thomas? 8 Α. No, Sir. 9 Nothing further. ATTORNEY HOLT: 10 ATTORNEY PERRELL: I have no 11 further questions, Your Honor. 12 Thank you very much, THE COURT: 13 Mr. Hamed. You may be excused. 14 ATTORNEY HOLT: So my next 15 witness -- I'm checking with my co-counsel. 16 Next, Your Honor, what we would just 17 like to do is offer into evidence the Hannun 18 declaration and, in particular, we would just 19 like to read into the record -- you have the 20 whole declaration in front of you -- paragraph 21 19, and this declaration talks about leading up 22 to this meeting. 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7.) 24 And 19 says: 25 We called Waleed after Mr. Yusuf had

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Colloquy

1	agreed to settle the dispute for the two
2	properties for what he had discovered, we
3	called Waleed who came in and we told him
4	of the agreement and we shook hands, and
5	everyone left. Later that night, before 24
6	hours past, Mr. Yusuf called and asked, if
7	I find anything else, can he ask for it,
8	and I said no the agreement covers
9	everything, even what he doesn't know about
10	right now, and Mr. Yusuf said no, that the
11	agreement was for what he knew now, not for
12	anything else he finds. Then there was no
13	agreement.
14	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection. It
15	misstates. There was no more agreement. He
16	misread the record.
17	ATTORNEY HOLT: There was no more
18	agreement.
19	And we'd offer into evidence the
20	interrogatory responses that were attached to
21	the first request for admission where Mr. Yusuf
22	also indicates there was no agreement.
23	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9.)
24	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection. I
25	believe

	Colloquy 77
1	THE COURT: Excuse me a minute.
2	ATTORNEY HOLT: We're off the
3	record?
4	THE COURT: Yes, I'm off the
5	record.
6	(Off the record.)
7	THE COURT: Do you have anymore
8	witnesses?
9	ATTORNEY HOLT: I'm just going to
10	offer into evidence the deposition excerpt, I
11	think we already stipulated to.
12	(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8.)
13	ATTORNEY PERRELL: No objection
14	to that, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	ATTORNEY HOLT: Exhibit No. 8.
17	THE COURT: Seven and eight by
18	stipulation.
19	(Plaintiff's Exhibit Nos. 7 and 8
20	admitted into evidence by
21	stipulation.)
22	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Is that it?
23	ATTORNEY HOLT: No, Your Honor.
24	We'd offer into evidence Exhibit No. 8, which is
25	the deposition testimony where Mr. Yusuf

Colloquy

1 indicated that he deposited the rent receipts 2 into the store account up until the point 3 towards the end of the partnership when 4 Mr. Yusuf told him not anymore. It speaks for 5 itself. We offer that into evidence. 6 ATTORNEY PERRELL: No objection 7 to offering those deposition excerpts into 8 evidence, Your Honor. 9 ATTORNEY HOLT: We rest. 10 THE COURT: All right. 11 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, 12 just housekeeping. I meant to move the 13 deposition excerpt of Mr. Waleed Hamed that were 14 referenced in Exhibit 6 into evidence as well. 15 THE COURT: The testimony is in. 16 ATTORNEY HOLT: Let me mention 17 this, you called it six, but the document says 18 F. 19 THE COURT: She's using tab six 20 of Exhibit F. 21 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes. 22 ATTORNEY HOLT: And we would ask 23 that all of it come in, all of the exhibit under 24 F. 25 THE COURT: It's in the record.

1 ATTORNEY PERRELL: That's fine, 2 Your Honor. I'm just trying to make sure we got 3 everything and we can use it at some later 4 point. Six is in, the entire deposition. 5 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 6 is 6 admitted into evidence.) 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay. Mr. Yusuf, do you mind? 9 10 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, I 11 think it goes without saying the same with 12 Exhibit 7, the Hannun affidavit as well. 13 THE COURT: Yes, as stipulated. 14 Please raise your right hand. 15 FATHI YUSUF, 16 after having been first duly sworn, testified as 17 follows: 18 THE COURT: You may be seated. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: You may proceed. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 23 Good morning. Good afternoon, Ο. 24 Mr. Yusuf. 25 Good morning. Good afternoon. Α.

1	
1	Q. All right. Let me ask you this,
2	in 2011, did you have a meeting between
3	yourself, Mohammad Hamed, in which Waleed was
4	present at Mohammad Hamed's home?
5	A. At Mohammed Hamed? Yes. Yes.
6	${f Q}$. Would it be easier for you to
7	understand me if I took my mask off?
8	A. It's okay to take it?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	Q. May I? I think it's harder for
11	him to hear me.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Is that better, Mr. Yusuf?
14	A. Much better.
15	Q. Okay. Thank you.
16	A. I hope so.
17	Q. So let me ask the question again.
18	Do you recall a meeting between yourself,
19	Mohammad Hamed in which Waleed Yusuf [sic] was
20	present in 2011 at Mohammed Hamed's home?
21	A. Yes, I recall we had a meeting
22	between myself, Mr. Mohammad Hamed, and his son,
23	Waleed.
24	Q. The Judge has already made some
25	findings on this, so we don't have to completely

1 revisit the whole thing, but can you tell us, to 2 your recollection, what happened and what was 3 discussed at that meeting?

4 Α. Well, what I find at that time, 5 it was \$2 million went to Jordan, and a million 6 four was transferred to Plessen Enterprise. 7 Plessen was newly established, had no license, 8 and then Wally told me we receive one million 9 four from St. Martin, and I say put it to 10 And then he called me five minutes Plessen. 11 later in St. Thomas and he said the bank will 12 not allow us to proceed with Plessen. I said, 13 well, put it in United, and I call it that. Ι 14 never check.

When I see that, it remind me we receive the one million four. I went through all the deposits for United, all the deposits for Plessen, it was not any deposit for the one million four, it been transferred from St. Martin to St. Thomas -- to St. Croix.

Q. Okay.

21

A. So when I went to Mr. Mohammed
Hamed, and his son was present, I ask him for
two particular items that I find; a \$2 million
from bank document, and the one million four it

6

25

1 remind me came in, but I never -- I was trusting 2 Mohammad so much that I never went through it 3 until I find out the man is a big thief.

4 Q. Mr. Yusuf, so what did you -- did 5 you discuss how to resolve those issues that you found?

7 Nothing else, just these two Α. 8 items. Just these two items. How can I settle 9 in a business, an operation of roughly over 10 \$30 million of money, and I was in St. Thomas 11 for 20 years, multiply 20 years by 30 million, 12 it add up to hundreds of millions, and all my --13 I trust Wally. If I didn't trust Wally --14 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, I 15 object. It's not responsive. 16 -- it would be a different story. Α. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 19 Ο. Mr. Yusuf, I understand. I just 20 need you to focus on the question, okay? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ο. So the question asked is, of the 23 two things you just described, did you and 24 Mr. Hamed discuss how to resolve that?

> When I approached Mr. Mohammad Α.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

7

18

21

Hamed, he said, What do you want? I said, Give me the property, such and such a property in Jordan, and give me the two property in, you know, we normally call it one, the 9.30 and the half acre in Tutu Park. He said, You can have it.

Q. Okay.

8 A. I can see the man very shameful,
9 I could see the man shows me that he's sorry for
10 what he did, so I myself decided to tell him
11 I'll take only one.

12 Q. Okay. Which one did you say you
13 would take?

14 A. The 9.30 -- I mean the -- sorry,
15 the Jordan property.

16 Q. Okay. All right. So, when you
17 left that day, you discussed two properties?

A. Yes.

19 Q. You ultimately decided on one, 20 correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And when you say the two properties, when you were saying Tutu, what properties were you discussing when you were talking about the Tutu property? What did you

mean?

1

2 Α. Tutu is the two properties. We 3 always call it one because we bought the 9.30 4 for the purpose of building a supermarket, we 5 bought it as a farm land. We paid a very good 6 price for it. We went to the Legislature 7 looking to rezone it. The Legislature object 8 the rezoning, they want to use it farming, 9 because we don't have the proper access and 10 entrance because it's too much curve and it's 11 down the hill, people sometimes go there 12 speeding, they find it's dangerous. They say, 13 if you find another exit and entrance, we will 14 look into your request again.

15 Then I went around to the neighborhood 16 and I found that piece of property. I bought 17 it. The man asked for -- whatever he asked I 18 give him for it, I believe it's 350, and I am 19 not -- I don't need to buy properties. I have 20 -- me and Mohammad have 1,200 acre of land in 21 the Virgin Islands -- excuse me, please allow me 22 to finish.

I even told the owner of the half acre,
just turn it to us and you can keep collecting
rent for yourself, we don't need the rent.

6

7

8

1 Whatever you want, just take it, because I
2 needed the entrance to the highway. I went to
3 the Legislature, resubmit my application, they
4 said -- the Legislature said, now you have our
5 approval, and that's how I got it.

Q. Okay. And so, after you leave Mohammad Hamed's house that day, did you come back to the store?

9 Α. I did not stay too long by 10 Mr. Mohammad Hamed home, maybe less than one 11 hour because, really, we were family, but it was 12 no pleasure. So as soon as I finished, I went 13 to my store, enter my office, same document 14 Mohammad had it right on my desk. I went 15 through it and I found a check for 84,000 -- 80 16 or 84,000 Jordan dinar. The dollar is only 70 17 percent of that currency. That translate about 18 120, \$126,000. He says, my father bought an 19 olive farm and he pay for it at a good price.

When that happened, I think three, four years earlier, when he bought it -- excuse me, when he bought it, I asked him how much did you pay for it? He says \$20,000. And when I -later, about a year later or two years later, we talked about it again. He say I paid 20, but

Direct - F. Yusuf 86 1 now I will not sell it for a hundred. 2 Mr. Yusuf, I'm not trying to cut Q. 3 you off, but I think we need to just -- I'm 4 trying to focus here. 5 You went back to the store, you found 6 some documents that seems contradictory to what 7 you knew. Is that fair? 8 Unfortunately, I find that Α. Yes. Mr. Mohammad is still lying to me. 9 10 Okay. What did you do about it? Ο. 11 What was the next thing? 12 I immediately look for Wally and Α. 13 tell him, Wally, this, your father lied to me on 14 this. Tell him I will take the two property. 15 Ο. Okay. And when you said the two 16 properties, what did you mean? 17 Α. The same two properties in 18 St. Thomas. 19 Ο. Okay. 20 That is already, you know, is the Α. 21 two property, the one in St. Thomas and the one 22 in Jordan. 23 Okay. And did you tell him to do 0. 24 anything? 25 I tell him make sure you tell Α.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Direct - F. Yusuf 87 1 your father that's what I want. 2 Q. Okay. 3 I change my mind because the Α. 4 man -- your father was not truthful to me. 5 Okay. And then when was the next Ο. 6 time you spoke to Wally? 7 Α. The very next time I saw him, I 8 think the second day early in the morning, Did 9 you talk to your father? He said, Yes. 10 Everything is okay? He said, Yes. That's it. 11 Okay. And from that Ο. 12 conversation, did you understand that there was 13 an agreement as to the two properties? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. And when you say the two 0. 16 properties, you mean the Jordan property and the 17 Tutu collectively? 18 And the two Tutu Park, yes. Α. 19 Ο. Okay. And did you understand 20 that you could continue to investigate whether 21 there had been any other issues or problems with 22 the Hamed's? 23 Naturally. I only discussed it Α. 24 -- what I discovered, excluding the property, 25 the farms, 120, because he told me 20, and I

21

25

1 trusted him. I could find out 120, he still owe 2 me a hundred more, but still made me angry to 3 back off on my promise. He caused that.

Q. Okay. But when you conveyed this to Wally Hamed and when Wally Hamed came back to you and said, Yes, I told my father. You understood that that was just for what you -what did you understand was the amounts of the resolution?

10 A. The resolution was two million in 11 the bank and the 1.4. It was not a lump sum 12 package.

13 Q. Okay. Were you also agreeing at 14 that time to sell the stores and completely 15 deal--

16 A. As soon as I find out, I really
17 want to sell out.

18 Q. Okay. But did you agree that 19 those two properties would be for every dispute 20 between you?

A. No, I never expected that.

Q. Okay. All right. And so, did Wally ever tell you, My father does not agree to the two properties?

A. He have never told me. I told

5

6

7

13

25

1 him, when I think we check the one in Jordan, I
2 told him, When are you going to transfer the
3 property in Tutu Park? He said, We're not going
4 to do it.

Q. All right.

A. I said, *Okay*. I find out in Jordan.

8 Q. Was that the first time when
9 Wally told you, We're not going to do it?

10 A. Yes. And then I looked for 11 people, and we agreed he will give it up, and we 12 went home.

Q. Okay.

14 Α. Fifteen minutes after I reach 15 home, I want to double check. I will still 16 going to keep searching. He told me, No, no, 17 no, no, it's not the agreement. I said, What do 18 you mean not the agreement? What do I gain 19 then? This man is giving me that long time ago. 20 If he give me the third property in Jordan, I 21 will guarantee you I will never search anymore. 22 Okay. What was the third Ο. 23 property --24

A. May I explain to the Judge?Q. Hang on. What was the third

1 property in Jordan?

5

6

7

8

9

A. The third property in Jordan I
bought for \$3 million. I have an offer to sell
it for \$42 million and I turn it down.

Q. Okay. So, Mr. Yusuf, you never -- did you ever reach an agreement as to the third property?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

10 Α. No. Wally issue is that if we 11 give him the third property, he still going to 12 keep asking. I said, No. I believe, I honestly 13 believe, from my life, my experience of my 14 capability, I never miss more than 2 percent in 15 my estimate. I honestly believe Wally have 16 steal me at least 55 to \$58 million in this 20 17 years.

18 Q. All right. So, Mr. Yusuf, did 19 you understand, when you went to Jordan, that 20 you already had a deal with Mr. --

21 ATTORNEY HOLT: I'm sorry, finish22 the question.

Q. Did you understand that you had a
deal with Mr. Hamed to transfer the Jordan
property and the Tutu property and you could

1 still look for more? By the time you went to 2 Jordan, is that what you understood or no? 3 ATTORNEY HOLT: Object to the 4 question as leading. 5 THE COURT: Just a minute. 6 ATTORNEY HOLT: Object to the 7 question as leading. 8 THE COURT: The question is 9 leading. 10 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 11 Mr. Yusuf, when you went to Ο. 12 Jordan, what did you understand the deal was 13 when you went to Jordan? 14 Α. I understand I get paid or I 15 settle on what I discovered. What I discovered 16 at that time is one million four and \$2 million. 17 Ο. And what was the settlement for 18 what you had discovered at that time? What was 19 the settlement agreement? 20 The property in Jordan and the Α. 21 one in Tutu Park. 22 Ο. Okay. And the one in Tutu Park, 23 was it both the 9.4 and the half acre? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And when you went to Ο.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

7

18

25

1 Jordan, did Mr. Hamed ever say to you, I'm not 2 going to transfer the Tutu Park property to you?

3 No, no, no, he never Α. 4 mentioned that.

5 Okay. And did anybody on the Ο. 6 Hamed side, while you were in Jordan, ever say, We're not going to transfer the Tutu Park 8 property to you?

9 Α. Wally had stated in St. Croix, 10 not in Jordan.

Okay. All right. And that was 11 Ο. 12 after you got back from Jordan?

13 Α. Yes. When I request to transfer 14 it, he had refused to transfer it.

15 Okay. After Wally refused to 0. 16 transfer it, did you have meetings with other 17 people in your community?

> Α. Yes.

19 Ο. All right. And did you, during 20 those meetings, try to enforce the agreement 21 that you understood and to also try to maybe 22 resolve everything?

23 I was trying to get what he had Α. 24 refused to give me after he --

> COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Ι

Direct - F. Yusuf 93 1 didn't get the ending part. 2 Can you say it again? She could 0. 3 not hear you. 4 Α. Oh, okay. The question again, 5 please. 6 Okay. Did you have a meeting Q. with people --7 8 Α. Yes. 9 -- in your community to enforce 0. 10 what you understood was the agreement and then 11 possibly settle every dispute that you have? 12 Exactly, yes. Α. 13 Okay. And who was present at Ο. 14 those meetings? 15 Wally, myself, Suleiman Khaled, Α. 16 Mohammad Hannun and Bakir Hussein, five of us. 17 I miss somebody. And Mr. Khalid Ali, he passed 18 away. 19 0. Okay. And with regard to 20 settlement of the two properties, the Jordan 21 property and the Tutu property, did Wally agree 22 that those needed to be transferred? 23 Yes, he definitely agreed in Α. 24 front of the family. 25 Okay. And did Mr. -- did you 0.

3

4

5

6

7

8

ever reach an agreement as to a global
 settlement of everything else?

A. I say to Wally, the man was stealing me, I discover he was stealing for the past 20 years. We never, I always want to keep searching, and I find. I choose a property what I believe is fair for him and me.

Q. Okay.

9 A. The two property -- the three 10 property -- you call it three, I call it two --11 the one in Jordan and the location in Tutu Park, 12 in addition to the property in Jordan, that 13 should be equal to what I believe Wally have 14 stolen.

15 Q. Okay. So just to be clear, the 16 third Jordan property would have been a global 17 settlement, correct?

18 A. I told the people in Plessen, he
19 said if I give him this, he going to keep asking
20 for property. I say, I guarantee you, I will
21 never look anymore.

Q. Okay. And these were conversations that you had at these meetings with those people you just described, correct? A. Yes.

1 Mr. Yusuf, have you testified 0. 2 about this agreement, the two-part property 3 agreement in this case earlier? 4 Α. If what? 5 Have you given a deposition about 0. this case, about this issue earlier? 6 7 T believe so. Α. 8 Okay. And do you recall giving a 0. 9 deposition about this case in 2014 about this 10 issue? 11 Α. Yes, and I even mentioned the two 12 piece of property in more -- what you call it, 13 more explanation. 14 Q. Okay. In more detail? 15 Α. In more detail. 16 All right. And was the Ο. 17 deposition in 2014 the very first deposition 18 that you gave in this case? Do you know? 19 Α. I don't remember. I make so many 20 deposition. 21 All right. And then, Your Honor Ο. 22 -- I'm sorry, Mr. Yusuf. 23 ATTORNEY PERRELL: First of all, 24 let me offer this. The exhibit -- Your Honor, I 25 believe these are already part of the record,

```
Direct - F. Yusuf
```

1 but Exhibit 3 are certain portions of 2 Mr. Yusuf's 2014 deposition. I would like to 3 offer those into evidence. 4 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, 5 unless --6 THE COURT: When you refer to 7 three, are you referring to --8 ATTORNEY PERRELL: I'm sorry, C. 9 THE COURT: -- Exhibit C under 10 tab three? 11 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes, Your 12 The C is what we referred to in our Honor. 13 motion, so I kept that because it's how I think 14 about them. I apologize for the confusion. I 15 just would like to offer those sections in his 16 deposition into the record. They're already in 17 the record, but just as an exhibit here. 18 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, we 19 object. If he doesn't recall something, this is 20 really for cross-examination, but you're not 21 just offering exhibits. It's not really in the 22 record. 23 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Well, that's 24 correct. 25

1 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 2 Mr. Yusuf, do you recall giving 0. 3 your deposition testimony in 2014 in this case? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. And do you recall giving Ο. 6 testimony about this dispute and this property 7 transfer in that deposition? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. Okay. Let me ask you to look at 10 Exhibit 3, please? It's in the binder. 11 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 3.) 12 ATTORNEY HOLT: He has to 13 establish that his testimony is very different 14 before she refresh his recollection. 15 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Actually, Your 16 Honor, my purpose for introducing it is not 17 necessarily to demonstrate that it's different. 18 My purpose for introducing it is to demonstrate 19 that it is consistent, and that in 2014, in 20 2020, and now today, Mr. Yusuf's deposition 21 testimony on these issues is consistent every 22 time he's sworn to talk about it. 23 ATTORNEY HOLT: Todav his 24 testimony he's giving. I don't think we need to 25 go back to his old testimony.

1	THE COURT: It's not necessary.
2	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay. Is it
3	already in the record? May I refer to it?
4	THE COURT: You may ask questions
5	about it, but it's not necessary to put them on
6	the record. His testimony will be judged in the
7	observations of the whole thing.
8	ATTORNEY PERRELL: We would like
9	to refer to that in various proposed findings
10	because it is prior testimony that is
11	consistent.
12	THE COURT: What proposed
13	findings?
14	ATTORNEY PERRELL: In any
15	proposed findings, and it's a matter of record
16	already.
17	THE COURT: The proposed findings
18	is based on the testimony and the exhibits
19	admitted in this case.
20	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay.
21	THE COURT: The use of the
22	deposition testimony is either to remind to
23	refresh the witness's recollection or to
24	contradict something he's now saying that he
25	said at an earlier time. But consistency is not

1 a basis for the admission of prior deposition 2 testimony unless it has been raised as an issue. 3 ATTORNEY PERRELL: All right. 4 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 5 Let me ask you this, Mr. Yusuf, Ο. 6 can you please take a look at -- actually, 7 Mr. Yusuf, can you please look at --8 THE WITNESS: Can I ask a 9 question, please? I'm asking the Judge. This 10 is my son, can he sit next to me in case I need 11 him to explain to me in English? 12 THE COURT: No. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't want him to 14 tell me what is the answer. I need somebody --15 I don't understand sometime your question. 16 Well, if you don't, THE COURT: 17 the attorney will explain it to you. 18 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes, just let 19 me know and I'll be happy to do it. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 22 Mr. Yusuf, there is a another --0. 23 do you know that there's another lawsuit that 24 the Hamed's have brought against you in which 25 Attorney Glenda Cameron is your attorney?

Direct - F. Yusuf 100 1 Α. Who? 2 Attorney Glenda Cameron is your Q. 3 lawyer, are you aware if that's the case? 4 Α. I don't know who that is. 5 Ο. Glenda Cameron? 6 Α. What is the case? 7 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, we'll 8 stipulate that Glenda Cameron is his lawyer in 9 another case. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 ATTORNEY PERRELL: What I'd like 12 to do, Your Honor, is offer in certain -- I'm 13 sorry, did you say you would agree to -- I want 14 to introduce certain interrogatory responses 15 that he gave in that case in addition to the 16 ones that you have offered. 17 ATTORNEY HOLT: I'd object to 18 I'm willing to stipulate that she's his that. 19 lawyer in another case. 20 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Oh, I'm sorry, 21 I thought you said it's fine. Okay. What I'd 22 like to do is offer the interrogatory responses 23 that you offered in, but I would like to 24 introduce Exhibit No. 2, which are those 25 interrogatory responses, and I would ask you if

1 you would agree to allow those to be introduced. 2 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2.) 3 ATTORNEY HOLT: I'm sorry. Part 4 of the same interrogatory you said? 5 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes. 6 ATTORNEY HOLT: It's part of the 7 same interrogatory, this is Exhibit 2? 8 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Yes. 9 ATTORNEY HOLT: I don't think I 10 have an objection to 2. Let me just make sure. 11 I have no objection. 12 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, we 13 would like to move into evidence Exhibit No. 2, 14 which is also tabbed as Exhibit B. 15 THE COURT: No objection, it will 16 be admitted by stipulation. It's admitted 17 without objection. 18 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 is 19 admitted into evidence.) 20 ATTORNEY HOLT: Excuse me? 21 THE COURT: Without objection, it 22 will be admitted. 23 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: 24 Now, Mr. Yusuf --0. 25 Α. Yes.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Direct - F. Yusuf 102 1 -- in this case --0. 2 Α. Yes. 3 -- are you asking to get the Q. 4 \$2 million and the \$1.4 million that was part of 5 what you understood those properties before --6 are you asking for that in this case? 7 Α. No. I consider that is already 8 settled with these properties. 9 Q. Okay. Mr. Yusuf, have you read 10 -- I'm sorry. Have you read the deposition 11 testimony that you gave in 2014 relating to this 12 case? 13 Some of it. Α. 14 Q. Okay. You testified a moment ago 15 that the testimony you gave before had some 16 greater detail than what you've described here. 17 Do you recall that? 18 Α. I would say so, yes. 19 0. Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 20 No. 3. 21 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, once 22 again, you can't use deposition testimony unless 23 she's impeaching him, so I don't understand the 24 purpose of the proffer. 25 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor,

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

1	again, I apologize. It's somewhat
2	unconventional, but here's the issue. The issue
3	has been, and even the testimony provided by
4	Mr. Hamed is that Mr. Yusuf is always changing
5	the deal and always changing things. And the
6	reason for offering this sworn testimony from
7	2014 and then interrogatory responses and then,
8	also, I was going to offer the 2020, to
9	demonstrate that Mr. Yusuf's understanding of
10	the scenario of what happened, the arrangements
11	and so forth, has been consistent all the way
12	through, that there hasn't been an
13	inconsistency.
14	ATTORNEY HOLT: He can testify to
15	that. But secondly, litigation consistency and
16	what happened back in a 2003 litigation is two
17	different things.
18	THE COURT: The attempt to prove
19	consistency in prior testimony is not
20	admissible. It's his testimony in this case
21	before this Judge.
22	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay.
23	THE COURT: And if it's
24	challenged, it will be by an inconsistency, not
25	by consistency.

Direct - F. Yusuf 104 1 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Understood 2 Your Honor. All right. 3 BY ATTORNEY PERRELL: Mr. Yusuf --4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. -- in front of you is an exhibit 6 Ο. 7 marked by the other side and admitted as Exhibit 8 No. 4. It's in those pile of documents --9 ATTORNEY HOLT: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 This is -- yeah, this is the Α. 12 property in Jordan. 13 Okay. And you were present for Ο. 14 when the transfer occurred; is that correct? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And have the Hamed's challenged 0. 17 this transfer of property? 18 Α. No. No. The lawyer ask him, he 19 ask him about it, and he said, Yes, I want to 20 transfer it, and he signs it and his son signs 21 it in his signature as a witness. 22 Ο. After it was transferred, have 23 the Hamed's claimed that the transfer should not 24 go forward? After this happened, after the 25 transfer in your meeting in Jordan, have the

Cross - F. Yusuf 105 1 Hamed's later challenged that? 2 Up to now they challenge it. Α. 3 ATTORNEY PERRELL: All right. 4 Mr. Yusuf, I don't have any further questions. 5 I think Attorney Holt is going to ask you some 6 questions. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 9 Mr. Yusuf --Q. 10 Α. Yes. 11 -- first of all, we're talking 0. 12 about the two properties in St. Thomas; one is 13 9.4 acres and one is 1.5 acres, correct? 14 There's no 1.5. It's less than Α. 15 one. 16 THE COURT: It's .5 acres. 17 ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay. Your 18 Honor, if I may? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 (Blow up of Plaintiff's Exhibit 21 No. 2.) 22 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 23 So, in St. Thomas there's one 0. 24 parcel that's 9.438 acres, correct? 25 Yes, this is one. Α.

Cross - F. Yusuf 106 1 And there's another part that's Ο. 2 .536 acres, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And this parcel (pointing) was Q. 5 bought first, correct? 6 Α. Excuse me? 7 This property (pointing) was Q. 8 purchased first, wasn't it? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And it was placed in the name of Q. 11 a corporation, Plessen Enterprises, Inc.? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And your family owns half of that Ο. 14 corporation? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And how is the ownership broken Q. 17 down? 18 They own 50 percent, we own 50 Α. 19 percent. 20 Yeah, but in your 50 percent --Q. 21 Α. I don't know. 22 0. You don't know? 23 Α. I don't know. 24 0. You understand that in the other 25 50 percent that Mr. Hamed owns 10 percent and

	Cross - F. Yusuf 107
1	each of his children own 10 percent?
2	A. I understand himself and his
3	children is 10, 10, 10. No, we are six. Me and
4	my wife and five children, we are six. I don't
5	know how we have it.
6	Q. Okay. And then the piece of
7	property that's over here (pointing), the
8	.536
9	A. I don't know what that piece of
10	property amount. I don't think that property.
11	I don't think
12	Q. Let me ask the question first,
13	okay?
14	A. Sure.
15	Q. Okay. The .536 parcel
16	A. What is that?
17	Q. The .536 parcel that was bought
18	to access the Plessen property, that wasn't put
19	in the name of Plessen Corporation, was it?
20	A. Wait a minute. I honestly don't
21	know. All I know is we bought a half acre,
22	approximately a half acre, maybe the .536.
23	${f Q}$. And do you understand that this
24	is owned by the partnership?
25	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Objection.

Г

Cross - F. Yusuf

3

19

22

23

Objection. Objection. Wait, Mr. Yusuf.
 Objection.

Q. I'll rephrase it.

4 Do you understand that this was 5 purchased by the partnership and then owned by 6 the partnership at least until 2012; do you 7 understand that?

A. We bought the both property in
the name of the partnership. That Plessen, and
again, we brought in Plessen, and then after I
said, No, after the rain, you have to put it
back in United. Then United still partnership
until Mohammad Hamed give it up.

14 Q. So these two properties aren't in 15 the same name, are they?

16 A. I don't know. I don't know if 17 that .536 is a property that I own or my 18 neighbor.

Q. Okay.

20 A. All I know is we have half acre
21 for entrance purposes.

Q. All right.

A. That's all we know.

24 Q. And if Mr. Mohammad Hamed only
25 owns 10 percent of Plessen, how can he give that

1 to you when he only owns 10 percent? 2 Α. Excuse me? 3 If Mr. Hamed, Mohammad Hamed, 0. 4 only owns 10 percent of Plessen, how can he give 5 that to you? He only owns 10 percent of it, 6 that's all he owns. 7 Α. Where the other -- if T Come on. 8 want to give that son, you see him, he's on 9 fifth floor and I tell him jump, he will never 10 say not me, he will. That's the Arab custom. 11 Don't come up with the American custom here. We 12 both Arab, we understand ourself this way. 13 Well, do you understand that if Ο. 14 the children of Mr. Hamed -- let me finish my 15 question. You understand that if the children 16 didn't agree to transfer the Plessen property, 17 that you wouldn't get a --18 Α. They don't interfere. The 19 children never interfere. Mohammad Hamed swear 20 on the Quran for something he never touched. 21 That's not my question. Ο. 22 Α. He left everything for his 23 children. 24 That's not my question. If the Ο. 25 children decided not to transfer, then it's not

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

```
Cross - F. Yusuf
                                                     110
 1
     his to transfer, correct?
 2
                    Sir, whatever they steal, the
               Α.
 3
     whole family owes it. Whatever Mohammad steal,
 4
     the whole family responsible --
 5
                    Judge, could you ask him to
               Ο.
 6
     answer my question?
 7
                    -- and they all enjoying it.
               Α.
 8
                    THE COURT: Can you answer the
 9
     question?
10
                    I don't know what he say.
               Α.
11
                    Well, you said you spoke to
               Ο.
12
     Mohammad Hamed about transferring the
13
     property --
14
               Α.
                    Yes.
15
               Q.
                    -- on March 11th, and he offered
16
     the property?
17
               Α.
                    Yes.
18
                    And you decided not to accept it,
               Q.
19
     correct?
20
               Α.
                    No, no, no, no. I accepted it,
21
     but I decide I give it to him. I let him keep
22
     it because he showed me that he suffering. He
23
     made a mistake. He's angry over what he did.
24
     When I went to the store, he find -- I find the
25
     man is more thief. Something he bought for
```

7

8

9

10

11

15

22

23

25

20,000, I find that he paid 120, then I get
 angry and I tell his son, Tell your father I
 want the property back.

Q. So, when you left his house that
day, you shook hands, and you were going to take
one property that's in Jordan, correct?

A. I did not say that.Q. Did you --

A. Wally agreed.

Q. Did you have an agreement?

A. We have an agreement.

12 Q. Okay. And then when you got back 13 to the store, you said that you decided to keep 14 on looking; is that correct?

A. Yes.

16 Q. So, what was your agreement? 17 Wasn't the agreement one piece of land and 18 everything would be done?

19 A. No. It states what is the price
20 for the land they took, 1.4 and the 2 million.
21 Come on, it's clear.

Q. All right.

A. How it could be I can't look

24 anymore.

Q. Okay. So you kept looking and

1 you just decided that you found another \$126,000 2 that was missing; is that correct? 3 Not missing. It's hidden. Α. 4 Q. Okay. And so, because of that, 5 you then went back and told Wally, Tell your 6 father --7 Yeah, I got angry. Α. 8 -- now I want the Plessen Ο. 9 property, correct? 10 No, no, no, no. He's not -- he Α. 11 don't deserve that I should let him keep it. 12 Okay. So when you told Wally, Q. 13 was he to go back and now get both pieces of 14 property --15 Α. Both. 16 -- or just one piece? Ο. 17 Α. Both. Both. 18 So the agreement for the first Q. 19 piece was off and now you were trying to go back 20 and get a third piece of property? 21 Don't try to confuse me. I am Α. 22 not going to be confused. 23 Judge, could you ask him to Ο. 24 answer the question? 25 No, no, no. What is your Α.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

10

13

1 question? I tell you Mohammad Hamed, he 2 represent himself and all his children. That's 3 my understanding.

Q. Okay. So here's my question, you have an agreement with him for one piece, you go back and you look and you see on the books that you think more is missing, so you tell him the agreement is no agreement, and now you want both pieces, correct?

A. Yes.

11Q. And you told Wally to go back and12tell him that you wanted both pieces, correct?

A. Yeah.

14 Q. Okay. And did you ever talk 15 yourself to Mohammad Hamed about it?

16 A. Look, Mohammad never left his
17 house after I discover it. He don't want to see
18 nobody. He don't even come to the Mosque.

19 Q. So you never spoke to Mohammad 20 Hamed again?

A. No, I will never speak to a man
like that after I discover who he is.

23 Q. So after you left his house, the 24 only conversations you had was with Wally Hamed, 25 correct?

Cross - F. Yusuf 114 1 Α. Wally, and not too much, maybe 2 once or twice. 3 You had no more discussions with Ο. 4 Mohammad Hamed after you left his house that 5 day, ever again? No, I don't recall, and I don't 6 Α. 7 think I will. 8 Okay. When the meeting took Ο. 9 place with the elders in September or October of 10 2011, Mr. Hannun was present? 11 Excuse me. Ask me the question Α. 12 please. 13 So the meeting took place --Ο. 14 there were meetings -- so after you came back 15 from Jordan --16 Α. Yes. 17 -- there were meetings with the Ο. 18 elders just trying to discuss all this, correct? 19 Α. The meeting what? 20 There were meetings in Jordan --Q. 21 excuse me, in St. Croix with the elders to try 22 to clean all this up, correct? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. Okay. And Mohammad Hannun was 25 one of the people present?

Cross - F. Yusuf 115 1 Α. Yes. 2 And at that time, Glenda Cameron Q. 3 was one of your lawyers? 4 Who? Α. 5 Glenda Cameron? Ο. 6 A. Glenda? The lady, she have an 7 office up the street? 8 Q. Yes. 9 Α. Yes. 10 She was one of your lawyers, Q. 11 right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And she notarized an affidavit Ο. 14 from Mr. Hannun, correct? 15 I think Nazar is the one who Α. 16 affidavit. 17 Huh? Ο. 18 Α. I think Nazar -- I never dealt 19 with Hannun on that. 20 Have you seen these affidavits? Q. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Did you see them before Q. 23 they were signed? 24 Α. Excuse me? 25 Did you see these before they Q.

Cross - F. Yusuf 116 1 were signed? 2 Α. No. 3 Who drafted these affidavits? Ο. 4 I don't know. You want me Α. Who? 5 to read it from here? 6 Do you know who actually wrote Ο. 7 these affidavits? 8 Α. Tell me who it is, please. 9 This is Mr. Hannun's affidavit. Ο. 10 Yes, he signed it, he was there. Α. 11 I don't know if I was there or not, I doubt it. 12 Did he type this up? Did he type Q. 13 this up? Do you know? 14 Α. Ask him that question, not me. 15 All right. In his affidavit on Q. 16 paragraph 19, he says: 17 We called Waleed after Mr. Yusuf had 18 agreed to settle the dispute for the two 19 properties for what he discovered, we 20 called Waleed who came in and we told him 21 of the agreement and we shook hands, and 22 everyone left. 23 Is that what happened? 24 Α. On the two property only. But, I 25 want to continue search.

1	Q. So, Mr. Hannun says:
2	Later that night, before 24 hours past,
3	Mr. Yusuf called and asked, if I find
4	anything else, can he ask for it, and I
5	said no the agreement covers everything,
6	even what he doesn't know about right now,
7	and Mr. Yusuf said no, that the agreement
8	was for what he knew now, and not for
9	everything else he finds. Then there was
10	no more agreement.
11	Is that what happened?
12	A. I don't know who told you that.
13	Q. So that's not correct?
14	A. I don't know who told you that.
15	I never say that. I just want to confirm I am
16	going to continue search.
17	Q. Okay. So after
18	A. I told you already, the man run a
19	business for 20 years
20	Q. All right. So
2 1	A and I tell you what is the
22	property for, a million four and two million.
23	Q. So here's my question, after the
23 24	meeting where Mr. Hannun was present, and Wally
2 4 25	agreed to give two properties, it's your
	agreed to give two properties, it's your

Cross - F. Yusuf 118 1 testimony that you could still continue to look 2 for more properties? 3 Yes, because he give it to me for Α. 4 what I show him I want, the two million and the 5 1.4. 6 And was there ever an agreement 0. 7 signed on that? Was there ever anything signed 8 on that? 9 Α. No, we don't sign. 10 Q. And was the property in 11 St. Thomas ever conveyed? 12 Α. That's what I requested, the 13 property in St. Thomas to be transferred to me 14 and they said, No. 15 ATTORNEY HOLT: Your Honor, may I 16 have just a short break, I think I'm probably 17 wrapping up. 18 THE COURT: Yes, you may. Ten 19 minute recess. 20 (Recess had.) 21 * * * 22 (After the recess.) 23 ATTORNEY HOLT: I have no more 24 questions. 25 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Just a couple.

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Redirect - F. Yusuf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY ATTORNEY PERRELL:

Q. So, Mr. Yusuf, just a couple follow-up questions. Attorney Holt asked you if this deal that you've described was in writing. Was the partnership deal in writing?

A. No, the whole Plaza Extra is not in writing.

Q. Okay.

A. It's only shake hand.

Q. All right. So, when Waleed Hamed came back to you and you asked him, *Did you* speak to your father? At what point in time did you believe that that half acre, the little out parcel, the half acre in Tutu was no longer partnership property?

A. From the time we had that meeting and I went home and, you know, because I felt sorry for him, when I realize the man was lying to me, he don't mind his age, he don't mind the way he look, but he still is not perfect, that I draw my word because he don't deserve it.

Q. Okay. So when did that --A. From that day, the property is mine.

Redirect - F. Yusuf

1	Q. Okay. And was there any
2	paperwork that needed to happen for that half
3	acre to go from being considered partnership to
4	now being something that is owned by you or
5	something that you have access to individually?
6	ATTORNEY HOLT: Objection. Calls
7	for a legal conclusion.
8	A. In that case
9	THE COURT: He may answer it.
10	A. In that case, I don't need
11	anything because the property is already in the
12	name of United.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. All I want to discover if they no
15	longer have anything in it.
16	ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okay. All
17	right. I have no further questions, Mr. Yusuf.
18	I think we are done.
19	I would like to offer in a couple of
20	affidavits that we had already stipulated to,
21	but I have no further questions for Mr. Yusuf,
22	so you're good.
23	THE WITNESS: I could leave now?
24	THE COURT: No, Attorney Holt
25	wants to ask you some more questions.

Recross - F. Yusuf 121 1 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 2 BY ATTORNEY HOLT: 3 Did the partnership -- did the Ο. 4 property in the name of Plessen Enterprises, 5 LLC, did that automatically transfer to your 6 name, too? 7 I don't understand the question. Α. 8 ATTORNEY HOLT: No other 9 questions. 10 THE WITNESS: Tell me, tell me. 11 No, we're here. I go home 1:30 in the morning 12 every day. 13 ATTORNEY PERRELL: We're good. 14 No further questions. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yusuf, 16 you may be excused. 17 ATTORNEY PERRELL: So, Your 18 Honor, just to make sure that we've got 19 everything in. 20 Did you put in Hannun? 21 ATTORNEY HOLT: I did offer 22 Hannun. 23 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Okav. Your 24 Honor, we'd like to go ahead and offer into 25 evidence, the parties have agreed by

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter

Colloquy

6

7

8

14

15

16

18

1 stipulation, what would be our Exhibit 17 and 2 our Exhibit 18. Exhibit 17 is the affidavit of 3 Suleiman Khaled, and Exhibit 18 is the affidavit 4 of Bakir Hussein, and the parties have agreed by 5 stipulation to allow that to occur.

> (Defendant's Exhibit Nos. 17 and 18 admitted into evidence by stipulation.)

9 ATTORNEY HOLT: Other than that, 10 the only other exhibit you have is Exhibit 2. 11 THE COURT: Two. 12 ATTORNEY HOLT: Two and five? Or

13 two and six?

ATTORNEY PERRELL: Two and six. THE COURT: Yes.

ATTORNEY PERRELL: All right,

17 Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

19 ATTORNEY PERRELL: And if, rather 20 than giving closing statements, we would ask 21 that the parties be allowed to do proposed 22 findings. I think that's easier for everyone, 23 if the Court would allow. 24 THE COURT: I have no objection. 25 How long would you like?

Colloquy 123 1 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Proposed 2 findings as opposed to closing arguments. 3 ATTORNEY HOLT: That's fine. 4 What is our deadline? 5 ATTORNEY PERRELL: I quess it would depend on when we can get the transcript 6 7 back. 8 THE COURT: It's a short 9 transcript. How much time after you receive the 10 transcript do you need? 11 ATTORNEY HOLT: Well, I've got 12 one week of vacation in there. 13 THE COURT: I know. 14 ATTORNEY HOLT: And I've got some 15 medical in there. Really and truly, I'm just 16 finishing up --17 THE COURT: All right. Both 18 parties should file their proposed findings and 19 conclusions on or before October 30th. 20 ATTORNEY PERRELL: October 30? 21 THE COURT: Yes. Because I know 22 that the transcript will be filed by Monday. 23 Is that agreed, Attorney Holt? The end 24 of October? 25 ATTORNEY HOLT: I'll make it

Colloquy 124 1 work. 2 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Your Honor, 3 just to clarify, October 30th is a Saturday, 4 should we make it either the 29th or November 1? 5 ATTORNEY HOLT: Work expands, so 6 both of us are not available. 7 THE COURT: The 29th should be 8 good. 9 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Thank you, 10 Your Honor. 11 ATTORNEY HOLT: Okay. Thank you. 12 ATTORNEY PERRELL: Thank you, 13 everyone. Appreciate it. Thank you, Carl. 14 Nice to see you. 15 ATTORNEY HARTMANN: Thank you. 16 Nice to see all of you. 17 THE COURT: And thanks for 18 getting Joel back into the courtroom. 19 20 (WHEREUPON, proceedings concluded.) 21 22 * * * * * * 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public of the U.S. Virgin Islands, do hereby certify that I did report, in my professional capacity, the foregoing Hearing in the case of Mohammad Hamed, et al. vs Fathi Yusuf, et al., (SX-12-CV-370, SX-14-CV-287, SX-14-CV-378) taken on September 28, 2021 at the Law Offices of Joel H. Holt, Conference Room.

12 I further certify that the foregoing pages comprise a full, true and correct transcript as 14 taken from my stenographic notes, of the 15 proceedings had, and that said transcript 16 contains all testimony and rulings of the Court 17 and all matters to which same relate.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this 6th day of October 2021.

UZE DESCARTES RMR/Notary Public

SUZETTE V. DESCARTES, Registered Merit Reporter